Proposed Grant Awards

November 28, 2018
MEMORANDUM

TO: OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
FROM: JAMES WILLSON, M.D., CHIEF SCIENTIFIC OFFICER
DATE: NOVEMBER 28, 2018

The Program Integration Committee (PIC) recommendations for FY2019 first quarter recruitment cycles 19.1, 19.2 and 19.3 includes 2 Established Investigators and 2 First-Time Tenure Track Faculty Member nominations. The PIC recommends funding four awards totaling $16,000,000.

The SRC recommendations are presented in two slates corresponding to grant mechanisms and displayed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Grant Mechanism</th>
<th>SRC Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Awards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruitment of Established Investigators</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruitment of First-Time, Tenure Track Faculty Members</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Program Priorities Addressed:
The applications proposed to the Program Integration Committee for funding address the following Academic Research Program Priorities: Recruitment of outstanding cancer researchers to Texas and Hepatocellular cancer.

The summarization of program priorities addressed by the proposed slate of awards is displayed in Table 2 and Attachment 1.

| Table 2: Program Priorities Addressed by Grant Recommendations |
|------------------|------------------|
| Program Priorities                  | Funding         |
| 4 Recruitment of outstanding cancer researchers to Texas | $16,000,000 |
| 1 Hepatocellular cancer              | $6,000,000      |

*Some grants awards address more than one program priority and are double counted.
Peer Review Recommendations
The applications were evaluated and scored by the Scientific Review Council (SRC) to determine the candidates’ potential to make a significant contribution to the cancer research program of the nominating institution. Review criteria focused on the overall impression of the candidate and his/her potential for continued superb performance as a cancer researcher, scientific merit of the proposed research program, his/her long-term contribution to and impact on the field of cancer research, and strength of the institutional commitment to the candidate.

Purpose of Recruitment of Established Investigators Awards:
Recruits outstanding senior research faculty with distinguished professional careers and established cancer research programs to academic institutions in Texas.

Funding levels for Recruitment of Established Investigators Awards:
Up to $6 million over a period of five years.

Recommended Awards:
The Scientific Review Council recommended two candidates for an Established Investigators Award. Candidates’ nominating institutions are: The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio and The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center. Below is a listing of the candidates with associated expertise.

RR190009
**Candidate:** Thomas D. Wang, M.D., Ph.D.
**Funding Mechanism:** Recruitment of Established Investigators
**Applicant Organization:** The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center
**Original Organization of Nominee:** University of Michigan
**Overall Evaluation Score** [Rating Scale 1.0 (highest merit) to 9.0 (lowest merit)]: **1.8**
**Recommended Total Budget Award and Duration:** $6,000,000

**CPRIT Priorities Addressed:** Recruitment of outstanding cancer researchers to Texas, Hepatocellular cancer.

**Description:**
Dr. Wang is a physician scientist who is recommended for a CPRIT Recruitment of Established Investigators Award to recruit him to The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center. Dr. Wang is a highly productive and accomplished Professor of Biomedical Engineering and Mechanical Engineering at the University of Michigan. He received a PhD from MIT and MD from Harvard and did a residency in internal medicine at Boston University and specialty training in gastroenterology at Stanford. He has pioneered diagnostic approaches using fluorescent labeled peptides that bind to receptors differentially expressed by colon cancer and esophageal cancer cells or their adenomatous precursors. He has 18 patents covering fluorescence endoscopy employing these agents, many of which are in clinical trials,
and he has three active NIH grants supporting this work. At UTSW he plans to continue the development of endoscopic based strategies for the early detection of colon and esophageal cancers and he plans to extend these novel approaches to the detection and treatment of hepatocellular cancers. Reviewers concluded that Dr. Wang’s proposal is highly innovative and his record exceptional.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RR190015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Candidate: Shideng Bao, Ph.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding Mechanism: Recruitment of Established Investigators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant Organization: The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original Organization of Nominee: Cleveland Clinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Evaluation Score [Rating Scale 1.0 (highest merit) to 9.0 (lowest merit)]: 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended Total Budget Award and Duration: $6,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPRIT Priorities Addressed: Recruitment of outstanding cancer researchers to Texas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description:**

Shideng Bao, PhD is recommended for a CPRIT Recruitment of Established Investigators Award to recruit him to The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio. Dr. Bao is a leading glioblastoma researcher currently in the Department of Cancer Biology and Director of Cancer Stem Cell Research at the Cleveland Clinic. Dr. Bao’s seminal discoveries concern the unique properties and vulnerabilities of glioblastoma stem cells and how these properties may be targeted to restrict tumor growth. He received a PhD jointly with Xiamen, China and the Dana Farber Cancer Institute in 1996. He joined the Cleveland Clinic in 2008 and was promoted to Full professor in 2015. He has published 75 articles including a high impact paper in *Nature* showing how glioma stem cells promote resistance to radiation therapy. His current research is supported by 3 active NIH grants and at UT San Antonio he plans to take advantage of the CPRIT-supported Center for Innovative Drug Discovery to discover more effective therapies against glioblastoma.

**3. Recruitment First-Time Tenure Track Faculty Members Slate FY 19.1, 19.2 and 19.3**

**Peer Review Recommendations**

The applications were evaluated and scored by the Scientific Review Council (SRC) to determine the candidates’ potential to make a significant contribution to the cancer research program of the nominating institution. Review criteria focused on the overall impression of the candidate and his/her potential for continued superb performance as a cancer researcher, his/her scientific merit of the proposed research program, his/her long-term contribution to and impact on the field of cancer research, and strength of the institutional commitment to the candidate.
Purpose of First-Time Tenure Track Faculty Recruitment
The aim is to recruit and support very promising emerging investigators, pursuing their first faculty appointment in Texas, who have the ability to make outstanding contributions to the field of cancer research.

Funding levels for First-Time Tenure Track Faculty Members Recruitment
Up to $2 million over a period of 5 years.

Recommended Projects:
The Scientific Review Council recommended two candidates for Recruitment of First-Time, Tenure Track Faculty Member Awards. Candidates’ nominating institutions are: Baylor College of Medicine and The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center.

Below is a listing of the candidates with their associated expertise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RR190003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Candidate:</strong> Ansuman Satpathy, M.D., Ph.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funding Mechanism:</strong> Recruitment of First-Time Tenure Track Faculty Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Applicant Organization:</strong> Baylor College of Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Original Organization of Nominee:</strong> Stanford University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall Evaluation Score</strong> [Rating Scale 1.0 (highest merit) to 9.0 (lowest merit)]: <strong>1.0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommended Total Budget Award and Duration:</strong> $2,000,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CPRIT Priorities Addressed:</strong> Recruitment of outstanding cancer researchers to Texas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description:**
Dr. Satpathy is a physician scientist who is recommended for a CPRIT Recruitment of First Time Tenure Track Faculty Member Award to recruit him to Baylor College of Medicine. Dr. Satpathy obtained his MD PhD from Washington University and completed a residency in Clinical Pathology at Stanford. He is currently an Instructor in Pathology at Stanford where he has been extremely productive and has obtained NIH support to pursue his research to understand mechanisms for response and resistance to immunotherapy. His mentor at Stanford predicts he will be one of the best scientific investigators of his generation and CPRIT reviewers noted that his was “one of the best applications seen”.

**RR190017**

**Candidate:** Matthew Gubin, Ph.D.

**Funding Mechanism:** Recruitment of First-Time Tenure Track Faculty Member

**Applicant Organization:** The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center

**Original Organization of Nominee:** Washington University

**Overall Evaluation Score** [Rating Scale 1.0 (highest merit) to 9.0 (lowest merit)]: 1.5

**Recommended Total Budget Award and Duration:** $2,000,000

**CPRIT Priorities Addressed:** Recruitment of outstanding cancer researchers to Texas

**Description:**

Matthew Gubin, Ph.D., is recommended for a CPRIT First-Time Tenure Track Faculty Member Award to recruit him to The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center. Dr Gubin obtained his PhD in Microbiology and Immunology from the University of Missouri in 2012 and after postdoctoral research at Washington University and joined the faculty there in 2017 as an Instructor. His research has addressed major challenges in the field of immune oncology and at MD Anderson he will join Nobel Laurette Jim Allison’s Immunology Department.
### Academic Research Program Priorities Addressed by Recommended Awards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Recruitment of outstanding cancer researchers to Texas</th>
<th>A broad range of innovative, investigator-initiated research projects</th>
<th>Implementation research to accelerate the adoption and deployment of evidence-based prevention and screening interventions</th>
<th>Computational biology and analytic methods</th>
<th>Childhood Cancers</th>
<th>Population Disparities and Hepatocellular Cancer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60,000,000</td>
<td>$16,000,000 4 Awards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Some grants awards address more than one program priority and will be double counted.*
• **Recruitment of Established Investigators**
  Recruits outstanding senior research faculty with distinguished professional careers and established cancer research programs to academic institutions in Texas.
  Award: Up to $6 million over a period of five years.

• **Recruitment of Rising Stars**
  Recruits outstanding early-stage investigators to Texas, who have demonstrated the promise for continued and enhanced contributions to the field of cancer research.
  Award: Up to $4 million over a period of five years.

• **Recruitment of First-Time Tenure Track Faculty Members**
  Supports very promising emerging investigators, pursuing their first faculty appointment in Texas, who have the ability to make outstanding contributions to the field of cancer research.
  Award: Up to $2 million over a period of five years.
October 15, 2018

Mr. Will Montgomery  
Oversight Committee Presiding Officer  
Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas  
Via email to wsmeprit@gmail.com

Mr. Wayne R. Roberts  
Chief Executive Officer  
Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas  
Via email to wroberts@cprit.texas.gov

Dear Mr. Montgomery and Mr. Roberts,

The Scientific Review Council (SRC) is pleased to submit this list of recruitment grant recommendations. The SRC met on September 13, 2018 (REC Cycles 19.1 and 19.2), and October 11, 2018 (REC Cycle 19.3) to consider the applications submitted to CPRIT under the Recruitment of Established Investigators, Recruitment of Rising Stars and Recruitment of First-Time Tenure Track Faculty Members.

The projects on the attached list are numerically ranked in the order the SRC recommends the applications be funded. Recommended funding amounts and the overall evaluation scores are stated for each grant applications. There were no recommended changes to funding amounts, goals, timelines, or project objectives requested. The total amount for the applications recommended for all cycles is $16,000,000.

These recommendations meet the SRC’s standards for grant award funding. These standards include selecting candidates at all career levels that have demonstrated academic excellence, innovation, excellent training, a commitment to cancer research and exceptional potential for achieving future impact in basic, translational, population based or clinical research.

Sincerely yours,

Richard D. Kolodner, Ph.D.  
Chair, CPRIT Scientific Review Council

Attachment
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>App ID</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Overall Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RR190003</td>
<td>Ansuman Satpathy, M.D., Ph.D.</td>
<td>RFTFM</td>
<td>Baylor College of Medicine</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RR190017</td>
<td>Matthew Gubin, Ph.D.</td>
<td>RFTFM</td>
<td>The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RR190009</td>
<td>Thomas D. Wang, M.D., Ph.D</td>
<td>REI</td>
<td>The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RR190015</td>
<td>Shideng Bao, Ph.D.</td>
<td>REI</td>
<td>The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REI: Recruitment of Established Investigators
RRS: Recruitment of Rising Stars
RFTFM: Recruitment of First-Time Tenure Track Faculty Members
November 5, 2018

Dear Oversight Committee Members:

I am pleased to present the Program Integration Committee’s (PIC) unanimous recommendations for funding of four grant applications totaling $16,000,000. The PIC recommendations for four academic research grant awards are attached.

Dr. Jim Willson, CPRIT’s Chief Scientific Officer has prepared an overview of the academic research slates to assist your evaluation of the recommended awards. The overviews are intended to provide a comprehensive summary with enough detail to understand the substance of the proposal and the reasons endorsing grant funding. In addition to the full overviews, all of the information considered by the Review Council is available by clicking on the appropriate link in the portal. This information includes the application, peer reviewer critiques, and the CEO affidavit for each proposal.

The approval of these grant recommendations is governed by a statutory process that requires two-thirds of the members present and voting to approve each recommendation. Vince Burgess, CPRIT’s Chief Compliance Officer, will certify that the review process for the recommended grants followed CPRIT’s award process prior to any Oversight Committee action.

The award recommendations will not be considered final until the Oversight Committee meeting on November 28, 2018. Consistent with the non-disclosure agreement that all Oversight Committee members have signed, the recommendations should be kept confidential and not be disclosed to anyone until the award list is publicly announced at the Oversight Committee meeting. I request that Oversight Committee members not print, email or save to your computer’s hard drive any material on the portal. I appreciate your assistance in taking all necessary precautions to protect this information.

If you have any questions or would like more information on the review process or any of the projects recommended for an award, CPRIT’s staff, including myself and Dr. Willson are always available. Please feel free to contact us directly should you have any questions. The programs that will be supported by the CPRIT awards are an important step in our efforts to mitigate the effects of cancer in Texas. Thank you for being part of this endeavor.

Sincerely,
Wayne R. Roberts
Chief Executive Officer
Academic Research Award Recommendations –

The PIC unanimously recommends approval of four academic research grant proposals totaling $16,000,000. The recommended grant proposals were submitted in response to two grant mechanisms: Recruitment of First-Time, Tenure-Track Faculty Members; and Recruitment of Established Investigators. The SRC provided the prioritized list of recommendations for the Recruitment awards to the presiding officers on October 16, 2018.

The PIC is required to give funding priority, to the extent possible, to applications that meet one or more criteria set forth in V.T.C.A., TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 102.251(a)(2)(C). The PIC determined that these academic research proposals met the following CPRIT funding priorities:

- could lead to immediate or long-term medical and scientific breakthroughs in the area of cancer prevention or cures for cancer;
- strengthen and enhance fundamental science in cancer research;
- ensure a comprehensive coordinated approach to cancer research and cancer prevention;
- are interdisciplinary or interinstitutional;
- address federal or other major research sponsors' priorities in emerging scientific or technology fields in the area of cancer prevention or cures for cancer;
- are matched with funds available by a private or nonprofit entity and institution or institutions of higher education;
- are collaborative between any combination of private and nonprofit entities, public or private agencies or institutions in this state, and public or private institutions outside this state;
- have a demonstrable economic development benefit to this state;
- enhance research superiority at institutions of higher education in this state by creating new research superiority, attracting existing research superiority from institutions not located in this state and other research entities, or enhancing existing research superiority by attracting from outside this state additional researchers and resources; and
- address the goals of the Texas Cancer Plan.
### Academic Research Recruitment Grant Award Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>App ID</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Overall Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RR190003</td>
<td>Ansuman Satpathy, M.D., Ph.D.</td>
<td>RFTFM</td>
<td>Baylor College of Medicine</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RR190017</td>
<td>Matthew Gubin, Ph.D.</td>
<td>RFTFM</td>
<td>The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RR190009</td>
<td>Thomas D. Wang, M.D., Ph.D.</td>
<td>REI</td>
<td>The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RR190015</td>
<td>Shindeng Bao, Ph.D.</td>
<td>REI</td>
<td>The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REI: Recruitment of Established Investigators
RFTFM: Recruitment of First-Time Tenure Track Faculty Members
MEMORANDUM

TO: OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MEMBERS
FROM: VINCE BURGESS, CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER
SUBJECT: COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION – NOVEMBER 2018 AWARDS
DATE: NOVEMBER 5, 2018

Summary and Recommendation:

As CPRIT’s Chief Compliance Officer, I am responsible for reporting to the Oversight Committee regarding the agency’s compliance with applicable statutory and administrative rule requirements during the grant review process. I have reviewed the compliance pedigrees for the grant applications submitted to CPRIT for the:

- Recruitment of Established Investigators
- Recruitment of First-Time, Tenure-Track Faculty Members

I have conferred with staff at CPRIT and General Dynamics Information Technology (GDIT), CPRIT’s contracted third-party grants administrator, regarding the academic research awards and studied the supporting grant review documentation, including third-party observer reports for the peer review meetings. I am satisfied that the application review process that resulted in the above mechanisms recommended by the Program Integration Committee (PIC) followed applicable laws and agency administrative rules. I certify the academic research award recommendations for the Oversight Committee’s consideration.

As reported in the compliance certification from August 2018, the Product Development Review Council (PDRC) sought additional information from one applicant in Texas Company Product Development Research Awards cycle 18.2. As a result, the PDRC took no action on the applicant at that time. The PDRC reviewed the applicant on October 17, 2018, and took final action not to recommend that application to the PIC. I certified this mechanism for the August 24, 2018, meeting; therefore, I will not repeat the certification.

Background:

CPRIT’s Chief Compliance Officer must report to the Oversight Committee regarding compliance with the statute and the agency’s administrative rules. Among the Chief Compliance Officer’s responsibilities is the obligation “to ensure that all grant proposals comply with this chapter and rules
adopted under this chapter before the proposals are submitted to the oversight committee for approval.” Texas Health & Safety Code § 102.051(c) and (d).

CPRIT uses a compliance pedigree process to formally document compliance for the grant award process. The compliance pedigree tracks the grant application as it moves through the review process and documents compliance with applicable laws and administrative rules. A compliance pedigree is created for each application; the information related to the procedural steps listed on the pedigree is entered and attested to by GDIT employees and CPRIT employees. CPRIT relies on GDIT to accurately record a majority of the information on the pedigree from the pre-receipt stage to final Review Council recommendation. To the greatest extent possible, information reported in the compliance pedigree is imported directly from data contained in CPRIT’s Application Receipt System (CARS), the grant application database managed by GDIT. This is done to minimize the opportunity for error caused by manual data entry.

No Prohibited Donations:

Although CPRIT is statutorily authorized to accept gifts and grants pursuant to Texas Health & Safety Code § 102.054, the statute prohibits CPRIT from awarding a grant to an applicant who has made a gift or grant to CPRIT or a nonprofit organization established to provide support to CPRIT. I note that Texas Health & Safety Code § 102.251(a)(3) specifically addresses “donors from any nonprofit organization established to provide support to the institute compiled from information made available under § 102.262(c).” To the best of my knowledge, there are no nonprofit organizations that have been established to provide support to CPRIT on or after June 14, 2013, the effective date of this statutory change. The only nonprofit organization established to provide support to the Institute was the CPRIT Foundation; however, the CPRIT Foundation ceased operations and changed its name and its purpose prior to June 14, 2013. The institute has received no donations from the CPRIT Foundation made on or after June 14, 2013.

I have reviewed the list of donors to CPRIT maintained by CPRIT (and listed on CPRIT’s website) and compared the donors to the list of applicants. No donors to CPRIT have submitted applications for grant awards during the award cycles that are the subject of this report.

Pre-Receipt Compliance:

The activities listed on a compliance pedigree in the pre-receipt stage cover the period beginning with CPRIT’s approval and issuance of the Request for Applications (RFA) through the submission of grant applications. For the period covering these RFAs, CPRIT published the RFAs on the Texas.gov eGrants website. The RFA specifies a deadline and mandates that only those applications submitted electronically through CPRIT’s Application Receipt System (CARS) are eligible for consideration. CARS blocks an application from being submitted once the deadline passes. Occasionally, an applicant may have technical difficulties that prevent the applicant from completing the application submission. When this occurs, the applicant may
appeal to CPRIT (through the CPRIT Helpdesk that is managed by GDIT) to allow for a submission after the deadline. The program officer considers any requests for extension and may approve an extension for good cause. When a late filing request is approved, the applicant is notified and CARS is reopened for a brief period – usually two to three hours – the next business day.

Academic Research:

For recruitment Cycles 19.1-2 and 19.3, six applications were received for the Recruitment of Established Investigators RFA and five applications were received in response to the Recruitment of First-Time, Tenure Track Faculty members RFA. A total of three applications were received for the Recruitment of Rising Stars RFA during these cycles; however, none of these applications were recommended for funding.

All Academic Research RFAs were posted on the Texas.gov eGrants website and all applications were submitted through CARS.

Receipt, Referral, and Assignment Compliance:

Once applications have been submitted through CARS, GDIT staff reviews the applications for compliance with RFA directions. If an applicant does not comply with the directions, GDIT notifies the program officer and the program officer makes the final decision whether to administratively withdraw the application. Recruitment grant applications and the Dissemination of CPRIT-funded Cancer Control Intervention grant applications are assigned to their respective review council members for review. All other academic research, product development research, and prevention applications are assigned by the peer review panel chair to their respective peer review panels. Prior to distribution of the applications, reviewers are given summary information about the applicant, including the Project Director and collaborators. Reviewers must sign a conflict of interest agreement and confirm that they do not have a conflict of interest with the application before they are provided with the full application.

The pedigrees attest that a conflict of interest statement was signed by each primary reviewer for each Grant Application.

Academic Research:

One recruitment application was administratively withdrawn during Cycle 19.1-2.

Peer Review:

Primary reviewers (typically three) must submit written critiques for each of their assigned applications prior to the peer review meeting. After the peer review meetings, a final score report from the review committee is delivered to the Review Council for additional review. Following the
peer review meeting, each participating peer reviewer must sign a post-review peer review statement certifying that the reviewer knew of and understood CPRIT’s conflict of interest policy and followed the policy for this review process.

*Academic Research:*

*For the Recruitment Awards, the applications are reviewed by the Scientific Review Council (SRC), which assigns two members of the SRC to be primary reviewers. I reviewed the supporting documentation, such as the sign-out sheets, third-party observer reports, and post-review peer reviewer statements. Sign out sheets are used to document when a reviewer with a conflict of interest associated with a particular application leaves the room (or disengages from the conference call) during the discussion and scoring of the application. For cycles 19.1-2 and 19.3, three conflicts of interest were declared by the SRC.*

*I reviewed and confirmed that the post review conflict of interest statements were signed by the SRC members that attended the Recruitment Review Panel meetings on September 13, 2018 and October 11, 2018.*

*Programmatic Review:*

Programmatic review is conducted by the Scientific Review Council, Prevention Review Council, and Product Development Review Council for their respective awards. Each review council creates a final list of grant applications it will recommend to the PIC for grant award slates.

*Academic Research:*

*I reviewed the third-party observer reports for each Review Council meeting. The third-party observer reports document that the Review Council discussions were limited to the merits of the applications and established evaluation criteria and that conflicted reviewers, if applicable, exited the room or the conference call when the application was discussed.*

*I reviewed and confirmed that the Review Council recommendations corresponded to RFAs that had been released. I also confirmed that the pedigrees reflect the date of the Review Council meeting and that the applications were recommended by the Review Council.*

*Because recruitment applications are assigned to the SRC, programmatic and peer review occur simultaneously when applications are reviewed by the SRC.*

*Program Integration Committee (PIC) Review:*

Texas Health & Safety Code § 102.051(d) requires the Chief Compliance Officer to attend and observe the PIC meetings to ensure compliance with CPRIT’s statute and administrative rules. CPRIT’s statute requires that, at the time the PIC’s final Grant Award recommendations are formally
submitted to the Oversight Committee, the Chief Executive Officer shall prepare a written affidavit for each Grant Application recommended by the PIC containing relevant information related to the Grant Application recommendations.

I attended the November 1, 2018, PIC meeting as an observer and confirm that the PIC review process complied with CPRIT’s statute and administrative rules. The PIC considered four applications, and all four applications were recommended to move forward to the Oversight Committee. A review of the CEO affidavits confirms that such affidavits were executed and provided for each Grant Application recommendation.
CEO Affidavit
Supporting Information

FY 2019—Cycles 19.1 through 19.3
Recruitment of Established Investigators
Request for Applications
CANCER PREVENTION & RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF TEXAS

REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS

RFA R-19.1-REI

Recruitment of Established Investigators

Please also refer to the Instructions for Applicants document, which will be posted on June 21, 2018

Application Receipt Dates:
June 21, 2018-June 20, 2019

FY 2019
Fiscal Year Award Period
September 1, 2018-August 31, 2019
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1. **ABOUT CPRIT**

The State of Texas has established the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT), which may issue up to $3 billion in general obligation bonds to fund grants for cancer research and prevention.

CPRIT is charged by the Texas Legislature to do the following:

- Create and expedite innovation in the area of cancer research and in enhancing the potential for a medical or scientific breakthrough in the prevention of or cures for cancer;
- Attract, create, or expand research capabilities of public or private institutions of higher education and other public or private entities that will promote a substantial increase in cancer research and in the creation of high-quality new jobs in the State of Texas; and
- Develop and implement the Texas Cancer Plan.

1.1. **Academic Research Program Priorities**

The Texas Legislature has charged the CPRIT Oversight Committee with establishing program priorities on an annual basis. These priorities are intended to provide transparency with regard to how the Oversight Committee directs the orientation of the agency’s funding portfolio.

Established Principles:

- Scientific excellence and impact on cancer
- Targeting underfunded areas
- Increasing the life sciences infrastructure

The program priorities for academic research adopted by the Oversight Committee include funding projects that address the following:

- Recruitment of outstanding cancer researchers to Texas
- Investment in core facilities
- A broad range of innovative, investigator-initiated research projects
- Implementation research to accelerate the adoption and deployment of evidence-based prevention and screening interventions; computational biology and analytic methods
- Childhood cancers
- Hepatocellular cancer
2. **RATIONALE**

The aim of this award mechanism is to bolster cancer research in Texas by providing financial support to attract world-class research scientists with distinguished professional careers to Texas universities and cancer research institutes to establish research programs that add research talent to the state. This award will support established academic leaders whose body of work has made an outstanding contribution to cancer research. Awards are intended to provide institutions with a competitive edge in recruiting the world’s best talent in cancer research, thereby advancing cancer research efforts and promoting economic development in the State of Texas. The recruitment of outstanding scientists will greatly enhance programs of scientific excellence in cancer research and will position Texas as a leader in the fight against cancer.

Applications may address any research topic related to cancer biology, causation, prevention, detection or screening, or treatment. However, special consideration will be given to candidates with research programs addressing CPRIT’s priority areas for research. These include implementation research to accelerate the adoption and deployment of evidence-based prevention and screening interventions, computational biology and analytic methods, childhood cancers, and hepatocellular cancer.

3. **RECRUITMENT OBJECTIVES**

The goal of this award mechanism is to recruit exceptional faculty to universities and/or cancer research institutions in the State of Texas. This award honors outstanding senior investigators with proven track records of research accomplishments combined with excellence in leadership and teaching. All candidates should be recognized research or clinical investigators, held in the highest esteem by professional colleagues nationally and internationally, whose contributions have had a significant influence on their discipline and, likely, beyond. They must have clearly established themselves as exemplary faculty members with exceptional accomplishments in teaching and advising and/or basic, translational, population-based, or clinical cancer research activities. It is expected that the candidate will contribute significantly to and have a major impact on the institution’s overall cancer research initiative. Candidates will be leaders capable of initiating and developing creative ideas leading to novel solutions related to cancer detection, diagnosis, and/or treatment. They are also expected to maintain and lead a strong research group
and have a stellar, high-impact publication portfolio, as well as continue to secure external funding. Furthermore, recipients will lead and inspire undergraduate and graduate students interested in pursuing research careers and will engage in collegial and collaborative relationships with others within and beyond their traditional discipline in an effort to expand the boundaries of cancer research.

Funding will be given for exceptional candidates who will continue to develop new research methods and techniques in the life, population-based, physical, engineering, or computational sciences and apply them to solving outstanding problems in cancer research that have been inadequately addressed or for which there may be an absence of an established paradigm or technical framework. Ideal candidates will have specific expertise in cancer-related areas needed to address an institutional priority. Candidates should be at the career level of a full professor or equivalent. This funding mechanism considers expertise, accomplishments, and breadth of experience as vital metrics for guiding CPRIT’s investment in that person’s originality, insight, and potential for continued contribution. Relevance to cancer research and to CPRIT’s priority areas are important evaluation criteria for CPRIT funding.

Unless prohibited by policy, the institution is also expected to bestow on the newly recruited faculty member the prestigious title of “CPRIT Scholar in Cancer Research,” and the faculty member should be strongly encouraged to use this title on letterhead, business cards, and other appropriate documents. The title is to be retained as long as the individual remains in Texas.

4. **INSTITUTIONAL COMMITMENT**

CPRIT recruitment awards are intended to provide institutions with a competitive edge in recruiting the world’s best talent in cancer research to Texas. The funds provided by CPRIT for the recruitment of an Established Investigator should be complemented by a strong institutional commitment to the recruitment (see section 8.2.2). The financial commitments made to the candidate by the recruiting institution are required to be equal to or exceed 50% of the proposed CPRIT award across the course of the CPRIT award.
5. **FUNDING INFORMATION**

This award is up to 5-years and is not renewable. Grant support will be awarded based upon the breadth and nature of the research program proposed. Grant funds of up to $6 million (total costs) for the 5-year period may be requested. Exceptions to this limit will be entertained only if there is compelling written justification. The award request may include indirect costs of up to 5% of the total award amount (5.263% of the direct costs). CPRIT will make every effort to be flexible in the timing for disbursement of funds; recipients will be asked at the beginning of each year for an estimate of their needs for the year. Funds may not be carried over beyond 5 years, except under extraordinary circumstances with strong justification for a no cost extension. In addition, funds for extraordinary equipment needs may be awarded in the first year of the grant if very well justified. **Funds from this award mechanism may be used for salary support of this candidate but may not be used to construct or renovate laboratory space.** No annual limit on the number of potential award recipients has been set.

Note the annual salary (also referred to as direct salary or institutional base salary) that an individual may be reimbursed from a CPRIT award for FY 2019 is limited to a maximum of $200,000. In other words, an individual may request salary proportional to the percent of effort up to a maximum of $200,000. Salary does not include fringe benefits and/or facilities and administrative costs, also referred to as indirect costs. An individual’s institutional base salary is the annual compensation that the applicant organization pays for an individual’s appointment, whether that individual’s time is spent on research, teaching, patient care, or other activities. Base salary excludes any income that an individual may be permitted to earn outside of his or her duties to the applicant organization.

**Note:** Depending on the availability of funds, nominations submitted in response to this Request for Applications (RFA) during the current receipt period may be announced and awarded either in the current fiscal year (prior to August 31, 2019) or in the first quarter of the next fiscal year (starting September 1, 2019).
6. **ELIGIBILITY**

- The applicant must be a Texas-based entity. Any not-for-profit institution that conducts research is eligible to apply for funding under this award mechanism. A public or private company is not eligible for funding under this award mechanism.

- Candidates must be nominated by the president, provost, vice president for research, or appropriate dean of a Texas-based public or private institution of higher education, including academic health institutions. The application must be submitted on behalf of a specific candidate.

- A candidate may be nominated by only 1 institution. If more than 1 institution is interested in a given candidate, negotiations as to which institution will nominate him or her must be concluded before the nomination is made.

- A candidate who has already accepted a position at the recruiting institution prior to the time that the Scientific Review Council reviews the candidate for a recruitment award is not eligible for a recruitment award, as an investment by CPRIT is obviously not necessary. No award is final until approved by the Oversight Committee at a public meeting. However, in recognition of the timeline involved with recruiting highly sought-after candidates who are often considering multiple offers, CPRIT’s Academic Research program staff will notify the nominating institution of the Scientific Review Council’s review decision following the Review Council meeting. If a position is offered to the candidate during the period following the Scientific Review Council’s review decision but prior to the Oversight Committee’s final approval, the institution does so at its own risk. There is no guarantee that the recruitment award will be approved by the Oversight Committee.

- The candidate must have a doctoral degree, including MD, PhD, DDS, DMD, DrPH, DO, DVM, or equivalent, and reside in Texas for the duration of the appointment. The candidate must devote at least 70% time to research activities. Candidates whose major responsibilities are clinical care, teaching, or administration are not eligible.

- At the time of the application, the candidate should hold an appointment at the rank of professor (or equivalent) at an accredited academic institution, research institution, industry, government agency, or private foundation not primarily based in Texas. The candidate must not reside in Texas at the time the application is submitted.
• An applicant is eligible to receive a grant award only if the applicant certifies that the applicant institution or organization, including the nominator, any senior member or key personnel listed on the grant application, or any officer or director of the grant applicant’s institution or organization (or any person related to 1 or more of these individuals within the second degree of consanguinity or affinity), has not made and will not make a contribution to CPRIT or to any foundation specifically created to benefit CPRIT.

• An applicant is not eligible to receive a CPRIT grant award if the applicant nominator, any senior member or key personnel listed on the grant application, or any officer or director of the grant applicant’s institution or organization is related to a CPRIT Oversight Committee member.

• The applicant must report whether the applicant institution or organization, the nominator, or other individuals who contribute to the execution of the proposed project in a substantive, measurable way, whether or not the individuals will receive salary or compensation under the grant award, are currently ineligible to receive federal grant funds or have had a grant terminated for cause within 5 years prior to the submission date of the grant application.

CPRIT grants will be awarded by contract to successful applicants. Certain contractual requirements are mandated by Texas law or by administrative rules. Although applicants need not demonstrate the ability to comply with these contractual requirements at the time the application is submitted, applicants should make themselves aware of these standards before submitting a grant application. Significant issues addressed by the CPRIT contract are listed in section 11 and section 12. All statutory provisions and relevant administrative rules can be found at www.cprit.texas.gov.

7. RESUBMISSION POLICY

Resubmissions will not be accepted for the Recruitment of Established Investigators award mechanism. Any nomination for the Recruitment of Established Investigators that was previously submitted to CPRIT and reviewed but was not recommended for funding may not be resubmitted. If a nomination was administratively rejected prior to review, it can be resubmitted in the following cycles.
8. RESPONDING TO THIS RFA

8.1. Application Submission Guidelines

Applications must be submitted via the CPRIT Application Receipt System (CARS) (https://CPRITGrants.org). Only applications submitted through this portal will be considered eligible for evaluation. The applicant is eligible solely for the grant mechanism specified by the RFA under which the grant application is submitted.

Candidates must be nominated by the institution’s president, provost, vice president for research, or appropriate dean. The individual submitting the application (Nominator) must create a user account in the system to start and submit an application. Furthermore, the Application Signing Official, who is the person authorized to sign and submit the application for the organization, and the Grants Contract/Office of Sponsored Projects Official, who is the individual who will manage the grant contract if an award is made, also must create a user account in CARS.

Dependent upon available funding, applications will be accepted on a continuous basis throughout FY19. In order to manage the timely review of nominations, it is anticipated that applications submitted by 11:59 PM central time on the 20th day of each month will be reviewed by the 15th day of the following month. For an application to be considered for review during the monthly cycle, that application must be submitted on or before 11:59 PM central time. In the event that the 20th falls on Saturday or Sunday, applications may be submitted on or before 11:59 PM central time the following Monday. CPRIT will not extend the submission deadline. During periods when CPRIT does not receive an adequate number of applications, the review may be extended into the following month. Submission of an application is considered an acceptance of the terms and conditions of the RFA.

8.2. Application Components

Applicants are advised to follow all instructions to ensure accurate and complete submission of all components of the application. For details, please refer to the Instructions for Applicants document that will be available when the application receipt system opens. Submissions that are missing 1 or more components or do not meet the eligibility requirements listed in section 6 will be administratively withdrawn without review.
8.2.1. Summary of Nomination (2,500 characters)

Provide a brief summary of the nomination. Include the candidate’s name, organization from which the candidate is being recruited, and also the department and/or entity within the nominator’s organization where the candidate will hold the faculty position.

8.2.2. Institutional Commitment (3 pages)

CPRIT recruitment awards are intended to provide institutions with a competitive edge in recruiting the world’s best talent in cancer research to Texas. The funds provided by CPRIT for the recruitment of an Established Investigator Faculty should be complemented by a strongly documented institutional commitment to the recruitment. The financial commitments made to the candidate by the recruiting institution are required to be equal to or exceed 50% of the proposed CPRIT award across the course of the CPRIT award.

NOTE: INSTITUTIONAL COMMITMENT AS DESCRIBED ABOVE MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE GRANT APPLICATION, PRESENTED IN A TABULAR SUMMARY THAT CLEARLY IDENTIFIES THE SALARY AMOUNT, SOURCES, AND ANY ADDITIONAL RESEARCH SUPPORT FROM INSTITUTIONAL SOURCES OVER THE COURSE OF THE CPRIT AWARD.

The following guidelines for content should be used when outlining the institutional commitment:

1. Information should be supplied in the form of a letter signed by the applicant institution’s president, provost, or appropriate dean.

2. The letter of institutional commitment must demonstrate the organization’s commitment to bringing the candidate to Texas.

3. State the total award amount requested.

4. Include a brief job description for the candidate should recruitment be successful.

5. Clearly describe the institutional commitment to the candidate, including documentation of total salary, institutional salary support through the course of the CPRIT award, and additional support for the applicant’s research program, endowment or other support, space, equipment, and all other agreements between the institution and the candidate.
6. This information may be provided as part of a paragraph text or as a tabular summary that states the approximate amounts assigned to each item.

7. Institutions may provide additional information in support of a candidate’s research plan to demonstrate how the institutional commitment through development of strategic collaborations will foster a candidate’s cancer research. This additional information is encouraged when proposing a candidate with exceptional expertise and/or talent that can be directed to cancer research such as a computational biologist, chemist, etc, whose prior experience has not been directly focused on cancer research.

Note that Texas law allows an institution of higher learning to use a federal indirect cost rate credit to comply with the requirement to demonstrate that it has an amount of funds equal to one-half of the CPRIT funding dedicated to the research that is the subject of the award (see section 12). However, a federal indirect cost rate credit should not be used to demonstrate an institutional commitment to the candidate.

8.2.3. Letter of Support from Department Chair (1 page)

Provide the letter of support from and signed by the chair of the department to which the candidate is being recruited. The following information should be included in the letter:

**Recruitment Activities:** The letter should provide a description of the recruitment activities, strategies, and priorities that have led to the nomination of this candidate.

**Caliber of Candidate:** The letter should include a description of the caliber of the candidate and justification of nomination of the candidate by the institution.

**Description of Candidate Duties and Certification of 70% Time Commitment to Research:**
While scholars may engage in direct patient care activities and/or have some administrative or teaching duties, at least 70% of the candidate’s time must be available for research. Breach of this requirement will constitute grounds for discontinuation of funding. The certification that 70% time will be spent on research must be included.

8.2.4. Curriculum Vitae (CV)

Provide a complete CV and list of publications for the candidate.
8.2.5. Summary of Goals and Objectives (2,000 characters)

List very broad goals and objectives to be achieved during this award. This section must be completed by the candidate.

8.2.6. Research (4 pages)

Summarize the key elements of the candidate’s research accomplishments and provide an overview of the proposed research by outlining the background and rationale, hypotheses and aims, strategies, goals, and projected impact of the focus of the research program. Highlight the innovative aspects of this effort and place it into context with regard to what pressing problem in cancer will be addressed. This section of the application must be prepared by the candidate. References cited in this section must be included within the stated page limit. Any appropriate citation format is acceptable; official journal abbreviations should be used.

Candidates for CPRIT Scholar Awards must include the following signed statement at the end of this section. Applications that do not contain this signed statement will be returned without review.

“I understand that I do not need to have made a commitment to <nominating institution> before this application has been submitted. However, I also understand that only 1 Texas institution may nominate me for a CPRIT Recruitment Award, and this is the nomination that I have endorsed. I understand that requests to change the recruiting institution during the recruitment process are inappropriate.”

8.2.7. Research Collaboration/Synergy Plan (2 pages)

Institutions may provide additional information in support of a candidate’s research plan to demonstrate how the institutional commitment through development of strategic collaborations will foster a candidate’s cancer research. This additional information is encouraged when proposing a candidate with exceptional expertise and/or talent that can be directed to cancer research, such as a computational biologist, chemist, etc, whose prior experience has not been directly focused on cancer research. Biographical sketches of collaborators established in the research collaborative plan must be uploaded as part of the application. This will be in addition to the 2 page synergy plan (see IFA).
8.2.8. **Publications**

Provide the 5 most significant publications that have resulted from the candidate’s research efforts. Publications should be uploaded as PDFs of full-text articles. Only articles that have been published or that have been accepted for publication (“in press”) should be submitted.

### 8.2.9. **Timeline (1 page)**

Provide a general outline of anticipated major award outcomes to be tracked. Timelines will be reviewed during the evaluation of annual progress reports. If the application is approved for funding, this section will be included in the award contract. Applicants are advised not to include information that they consider confidential or proprietary when preparing this section.

### 8.2.10. **Current and Pending Support**

State the funding source, duration, and title of all current and pending research support held by the candidate. If the candidate has no current or pending funding, a document stating this must be submitted. Refer to the sample current and pending support document located in Current Funding Opportunities for Academic Research in CARS.

### 8.2.11. **Research Environment (1 page)**

Briefly describe the research environment available to support the candidate’s research program, including core facilities, training programs, and collaborative opportunities.

### 8.2.12. **Descriptive Biography (Up to 2 pages)**

Provide a brief descriptive biography of the candidate, including his or her accomplishments, education and training, professional experience, awards and honors, publications relevant to cancer research, and a brief overview of the candidate’s goals if selected to receive the award.

**This section of the application must be prepared by the candidate.** If the application is approved for funding, this section will be made publicly available on CPRIT’s website. Candidates are advised not to include information that they consider confidential or proprietary when preparing this section.

Applications that are missing 1 or more of these components; exceed the specified page, word, or budget limits; or do not meet the eligibility requirements listed above will be administratively withdrawn without review.
9. APPLICATION REVIEW

9.1. Review Process

All eligible applications will be evaluated and scored by the CPRIT Scientific Review Council using the criteria listed in this RFA. Applications may be submitted continuously in response to this RFA but will generally be reviewed on a monthly basis by the CPRIT Scientific Review Council. Council members may seek additional ad hoc evaluations of candidates. Scientific Review Council members will review applications and provide an individual Overall Evaluation Score that conveys the members’ recommendation related to the proposed recruitment. Applications recommended by the Council will be forwarded to the CPRIT Program Integration Committee (PIC) for review, prioritization, and recommendation to the CPRIT Oversight Committee for approval and funding. Approval is based on an application receiving a positive vote from at least two-thirds of the members of the Oversight Committee. The review process is described more fully in CPRIT’s Administrative Rules, chapter 703, sections 703.6 to 703.8.

The decision of the Scientific Review Council not to recommend an application is final, and such applications may not be resubmitted for a recruitment award. Notification of review decisions is sent to the nominator.

9.2. Confidentiality of Review

Each stage of application review is conducted confidentially, and all CPRIT Scientific Review Council members, PIC members, CPRIT employees, and Oversight Committee members with access to grant application information are required to sign nondisclosure statements regarding the contents of the applications. All technological and scientific information included in the application is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Health and Safety Code §102.262(b).

Individuals directly involved with the review process operate under strict conflict-of-interest prohibitions. All CPRIT Scientific Review Council members are non-Texas residents.

By submitting a grant application, the applicant agrees and understands that the only basis for reconsideration of a grant application is limited to an undisclosed conflict of interest as set forth in CPRIT’s Administrative Rules, chapter 703, section 703.9.

Communication regarding the substance of a pending application is prohibited between the grant applicant (or someone on the grant applicant’s behalf) and the following individuals: an
Oversight Committee member, a PIC member, or a Scientific Review Council member. Applicants should note that the CPRIT PIC comprises the CPRIT Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Scientific Officer, the Chief Prevention and Communications Officer, the Chief Product Development Officer, and the Commissioner of the Department of State Health Services. The prohibition on communication begins on the first day that grant applications for the particular grant mechanism are accepted by CPRIT and extends until the grant applicant receives notice regarding a final decision on the grant application. Intentional, serious, or frequent violations of this rule may result in the disqualification of the grant applicant from further consideration for a grant award.

9.3. Review Criteria

Applications will be assessed based on evaluation of the quality of the candidate and his or her potential for continued superb performance as a cancer researcher. Also of critical importance is the strength of the institutional commitment to the candidate. Recruitment efforts are not likely to be successful unless there is a strong commitment from CPRIT and the host institution. It is not necessary that a candidate agree to accept the recruitment offer at the time an application is submitted. However, applicant institutions should have reasonable expectation that recruitment will be successful if an award is granted by CPRIT.

Review criteria will focus on the overall impression of the candidate, his/her proposed research program, and his/her long-term contribution to and impact on the field of cancer research. Questions to be considered by the reviewers are as follows:

**Quality of the Candidate:** Has the candidate made significant, transformative, and sustained contributions to basic, translational, clinical, or population-based cancer research? Is the candidate an established and nationally and/or internationally recognized leader in the field? Has the candidate demonstrated excellence in leadership and teaching? Has the candidate provided mentorship, inspiration, and/or professional training opportunities to junior scientists and students? Does the candidate have a strong record of research funding? Does the candidate have a publication history in high-impact journals? Does the candidate show evidence of collaborative interaction with others?

**Scientific Merit of Proposed Research:** Is the research plan comprehensive and well thought out? Does the proposed research program demonstrate innovation, creativity, and feasibility?
Will it expand the boundaries of cancer research beyond traditional methodology by incorporating novel and interdisciplinary techniques? Does the research program integrate with and/or increase collaborative research efforts and relationships at the nominating institution?

**Relevance of Candidate’s Research:** Is the proposed research likely to have a significant impact on reducing the burden of cancer in the near term? Does the research contribute to basic, translational, clinical, or population-based cancer research?

**Research Environment:** Does the institution have the necessary facilities, expertise, and resources to support the candidate’s research program? Is there evidence of strong institutional support? Will the candidate be free of major administrative/clinical responsibilities so that he or she can focus on maintaining and enhancing his or her research program?

10. **KEY DATES**

**RFA**

RFA Release June 21, 2018

Application Receipt and Review Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application Receipt System opens 7 AM CT</th>
<th>Application Receipt</th>
<th>Anticipated Application Review</th>
<th>Application Closing Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 21, 2018</td>
<td>Continuous – dependent upon available funding</td>
<td>Monthly by the 15th day of the month</td>
<td>June 20, 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. **AWARD ADMINISTRATION**

Texas law requires that CPRIT grant awards be made by contract between the applicant and CPRIT. CPRIT grant awards are made to institutions or organizations, not to individuals. Awards made under this RFA are not transferable to another institution. Award contract negotiation and execution will commence once the CPRIT Oversight Committee has approved an application for a grant award.

CPRIT may require, as a condition of receiving a grant award, that the grant recipient use CPRIT’s electronic Grant Management System to exchange, execute, and verify legally binding
grant contract documents and grant award reports. Such use shall be in accordance with CPRIT’s electronic signature policy as set forth in chapter 701, section 701.25.

Texas law specifies several components that must be addressed by the award contract, including needed compliance and assurance documentation, budgetary review, progress and fiscal monitoring, and terms relating to revenue sharing and intellectual property rights. These contract provisions are specified in CPRIT’s Administrative Rules, which are available at www.cprit.texas.gov.

Applicants are advised to review CPRIT’s Administrative Rules related to contractual requirements associated with CPRIT grant awards and limitations related to the use of CPRIT grant awards as set forth in chapter 703, sections 703.10, 703.12.

Prior to disbursement of grant award funds, the grant recipient organization must demonstrate that it has adopted and enforces a tobacco-free workplace policy consistent with the requirements set forth in CPRIT’s Administrative Rules, chapter 703, section 703.20.

CPRIT requires award recipients to submit an annual progress report. These reports summarize the progress made toward the research goals and address plans for the upcoming year. In addition, fiscal reporting, human studies reporting, and vertebrate animal use reporting will be required as appropriate. Continuation of funding is contingent upon the timely receipt of these reports. Failure to provide timely and complete reports may waive reimbursement of grant award costs and may result in the termination of the award contract. Forms and instructions will be made available at www.cprit.texas.gov.

12. REQUIREMENT TO DEMONSTRATE AVAILABLE FUNDS

Texas law requires that prior to disbursement of CPRIT grant funds, the award recipient must demonstrate that it has an amount of funds equal to one-half of the CPRIT funding dedicated to the research that is the subject of the award. The demonstration of available matching funds must be made at the time the award contract is executed and annually thereafter, not when the application is submitted. Grant applicants are advised to consult CPRIT’s Administrative Rules, chapter 703, section 703.11, for specific requirements regarding the demonstration of available funding.
13. CONTACT INFORMATION

13.1. Helpdesk

Helpdesk support is available for questions regarding user registration and online submission of applications. Queries submitted via email will be answered within 1 business day. Helpdesk staff members are not in a position to answer questions regarding scientific aspects of applications.

**Hours of operation:** Monday through Friday, 8 AM to 6 PM central time
**Tel:** 866-941-7146
**Email:** Help@CPRITGrants.org

13.2. Scientific and Programmatic Questions

Questions regarding the CPRIT Program, including questions regarding this or other funding opportunities, should be directed to the CPRIT Senior Program Manager for Academic Research.

**Tel:** 512-305-8491
**Email:** Help@CPRITGrants.org
**Website:** www.cprit.texas.gov
Third Party Observer Reports
Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT)
Observation Report

Program Name: Academic Research
Panel Date: 9/13/2018
Report Date: 9/14/2018

BACKGROUND
As part of CPRIT’s ongoing emphasis on continuous improvement in its grants review/management processes and to ensure panel discussions are limited to the merits of the applications and focused on established evaluation criteria, CPRIT continues to engage a third-party independent observer at all in-person and telephone conference peer review meetings. CPRIT has authorized an independent party to function as a neutral third-party observer. CPRIT engaged Business and Financial Management Solutions, LLC (BFS) as the third-party observer.

INTRODUCTION
The subject of this report is the Recruitment Review Panel – 19.1-2 (REC_19.1-2) meeting. The meeting was chaired by Richard Kolodner and conducted via teleconference on September 13, 2018.

PANEL OBSERVATION OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE
The third-party observation engagement was limited to observation of the following objectives:

- CPRIT’s established procedure for panelists who have declared a conflict of interest is followed during the meeting (e.g., reviewers hang up from the teleconference or leave the room when an application with which there is a conflict is discussed);
- CPRIT program staff participation at meetings is limited to offering general points of information;
- CPRIT program staff do not engage in the panel’s discussion on the merits of applications; and
- The panel focused on the established scoring criteria and/or making recommendations.
SUMMARY OF OBSERVATION RESULTS

Two (2) BFS independent observers participated in observing the meeting. GDIT, CPRIT’s contracted third-party grant application administrator, facilitated the meeting.

The independent observers noted the following during the meeting:

- Number (#) of applications: Six (6) applications were discussed;
- Panelists: One (1) panel chair and five (5) expert reviewers and zero (0) advocate reviewers;
- Panelists’ discussions were limited to the application evaluation criteria;
- GDIT staff employees: Two (2);
- GDIT staff did not participate in the discussions concerning the merits of applications;
- CPRIT staff employees: Two (2);
- CPRIT program staff participation was limited to clarifying policies, and answering procedural questions.

There was one (1) COIs identified prior to and/or during the meeting. COIs were excluded from discussions concerning applications for which there was a conflict.

A list of all attendees, a sign-in log, and informational materials were provided by GDIT to aid in the observation of the COI procedures and objectives. A completed attendance sheet and sign-in log was provided following the meeting to confirm all attendees and COIs.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion; we observed that the activities of the meeting identified herein were limited to the identified objectives noted earlier in this report.

BFS’s third-party observation services did not include an evaluation of the appropriateness or rigor of the review panel's discussion of scientific, technical, or programmatic aspects of the applications. We were not engaged to perform an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the accuracy of voting and scoring. Accordingly, we will not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.
This report is intended solely for the information and use of CPRIT, its management and its Oversight Committee members. This report is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

With best regards,

Mara Ash, CIA, CGAP, CGFM, CMRA
Senior Partner
Business & Financial Management Solutions, LLC

cc: Vince Burgess, Chief Compliance Officer
    Cameron Eckel, Attorney
Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT)
Recruitment Review Panel Meeting (REC_19.3)
Observation Report

Report No. 2018-10-11 REC_19.3
Program Name: Academic Research
Panel Name: Recruitment Review Panel Meeting (REC_19.3)
Panel Date: 10/11/2018
Report Date: 10/12/2018

BACKGROUND
As part of CPRIT’s ongoing emphasis on continuous improvement in its grants review/management processes and to ensure panel discussions are limited to the merits of the applications and focused on established evaluation criteria, CPRIT continues to engage a third-party independent observer at all in-person and telephone conference peer review meetings. CPRIT has authorized an independent party to function as a neutral third-party observer and has engaged Business and Financial Management Solutions, LLC (BFS) for that purpose.

INTRODUCTION
The subject of this report is the Recruitment Review Panel Meeting (REC_19.3) meeting. The meeting was chaired by Richard Kolodner and conducted via web conference on October 11, 2018.

PANEL OBSERVATION OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE
The third-party observation engagement was limited to observation of the following objectives:

- CPRIT’s established procedure for panelists who have declared a conflict of interest is followed during the meeting (e.g., reviewers hang up from the teleconference or leave the room when an application with which there is a conflict is discussed);
- CPRIT program staff participation at meetings is limited to offering general points of information;
- CPRIT program staff do not engage in the panel's discussion on the merits of applications; and
- The panel focused on the established scoring criteria and/or making recommendations.
**SUMMARY OF OBSERVATION RESULTS**

Two (2) BFS independent observers participated in observing the meeting. GDIT, CPRIT’s contracted third-party grant application administrator, facilitated the meeting.

The independent observers noted the following during the meeting:

- Number (#) of applications: Seven (7) applications were discussed
- Panelists: One (1) panel chair and five (5) expert reviewers
- Panelists’ discussions were limited to the application evaluation criteria
- GDIT staff employees: Three (3)
- GDIT staff did not participate in discussions concerning the merits of applications
- CPRIT staff employees: Two (2)
- CPRIT program staff participation was limited to reviewing and clarifying policies, and answering procedural questions

There were two (2) COIs identified prior to and/or during the meeting. COIs were excluded from discussions concerning applications for which there was a conflict, respectively.

A list of all attendees, a sign-in log and informational materials were provided by GDIT to aid in the observation of the COI procedures and objectives. A completed attendance sheet and sign-in log was provided following the meeting to confirm all attendees and COIs.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion; we observed that the activities of the meeting identified herein were limited to the identified objectives noted earlier in this report.

BFS’s third-party observation services did not include an evaluation of the appropriateness or rigor of the review panel’s discussions of scientific, technical, or programmatic aspects of the applications. We were not engaged to perform an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the accuracy of voting and scoring. Accordingly, we will not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.
This report is intended solely for the information and use of CPRIT, its management and its Oversight Committee members. This report is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

With best regards,

Mara Ash, CIA, CGAP, CGFM, CMRA
Senior Partner
Business & Financial Management Solutions, LLC

cc: Vince Burgess, Chief Compliance Officer
    Cameron Eckel, Attorney
Conflicts of Interest Disclosure

Academic Research Recruitment Cycle 18.10-18.12 Applications
(Academic Research Recruitment Cycle 19.1-19.3 Awards Announced at November 28, 2018, Oversight Committee Meeting)

The table below lists the conflicts of interest (COIs) identified by peer reviewers, Program Integration Committee (PIC) members, and Oversight Committee members on an application-by-application basis. Applications reviewed in Academic Research Recruitment Cycle 19.1-19.3 include Recruitment of Rising Stars; Recruitment of Established Investigators; and Recruitment of First-Time, Tenure-Track Faculty Members. All applications with at least one identified COI are listed below; applications with no COIs are not included. It should be noted that an individual is asked to identify COIs for only those applications that are to be considered by the individual at that particular stage in the review process. For example, Oversight Committee members identify COIs, if any, with only those applications that have been recommended for the grant awards by the PIC. COI information used for this table was collected by General Dynamics Information Technology (GDIT), CPRIT’s third party grant administrator, and by CPRIT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application ID</th>
<th>Applicant/PI</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Conflict Noted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RR190017</td>
<td>Gulio Draetta</td>
<td>The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center</td>
<td>Margaret Tempero</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR190002</td>
<td>Sterling Johnston</td>
<td>The University of Texas at Austin</td>
<td>Tom Sellers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR190012</td>
<td>Jon Weidanz</td>
<td>The University of Texas at Arlington</td>
<td>Peter Jones</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
De-Identified Overall Evaluation Scores
## Recruitment of Established Investigators

Academic Research Recruitment Cycles 19.1-19.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application ID</th>
<th>Final Overall Evaluation Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RR190009*</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR190015*</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aa</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ab</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ac</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ad</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Recommended for award
Final Overall Evaluation Scores and Rank Order Scores
October 15, 2018

Mr. Will Montgomery  
Oversight Committee Presiding Officer  
Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas  
Via email to wsmcprit@gmail.com

Mr. Wayne R. Roberts  
Chief Executive Officer  
Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas  
Via email to wroberts@cprit.texas.gov

Dear Mr. Montgomery and Mr. Roberts,

The Scientific Review Council (SRC) is pleased to submit this list of recruitment grant recommendations. The SRC met on September 13, 2018 (REC Cycles 19.1 and 19.2), and October 11, 2018 (REC Cycle 19.3) to consider the applications submitted to CPRIT under the Recruitment of Established Investigators, Recruitment of Rising Stars and Recruitment of First-Time Tenure Track Faculty Members.

The projects on the attached list are numerically ranked in the order the SRC recommends the applications be funded. Recommended funding amounts and the overall evaluation scores are stated for each grant applications. There were no recommended changes to funding amounts, goals, timelines, or project objectives requested. The total amount for the applications recommended for all cycles is $16,000,000.

These recommendations meet the SRC’s standards for grant award funding. These standards include selecting candidates at all career levels that have demonstrated academic excellence, innovation, excellent training, a commitment to cancer research and exceptional potential for achieving future impact in basic, translational, population based or clinical research.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Richard D. Kolodner, Ph.D.  
Chair, CPRIT Scientific Review Council

Attachment
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>App ID</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Overall Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RR190003</td>
<td>Anuman Satpathy, M.D., Ph.D.</td>
<td>RFTFM</td>
<td>Baylor College of Medicine</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RR190017</td>
<td>Matthew Gubin, Ph.D.</td>
<td>RFTFM</td>
<td>The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RR190009</td>
<td>Thomas D. Wang, M.D., Ph.D.</td>
<td>REI</td>
<td>The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RR190015</td>
<td>Shideng Bao, Ph.D.</td>
<td>REI</td>
<td>The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REI: Recruitment of Established Investigators
RRS: Recruitment of Rising Stars
RFTFM: Recruitment of First-Time Tenure Track Faculty Members
CEO Affidavit
Supporting Information

FY 2019—Cycles 19.1 through 19.3
Recruitment of First-Time, Tenure-Track Faculty Members
Request for Applications
REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS

RFA R-19.1-RFT

Recruitment of First-Time Tenure-Track Faculty Members

Please also refer to the Instructions for Applicants document, which will be posted on June 21, 2018

Application Receipt Dates:
June 21, 2018-June 20, 2019

FY 2019
Fiscal Year Award Period
September 1, 2018-August 31, 2019
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. ABOUT CPRIT .................................................................................................................. 4  
   1.1. Academic Research Program Priorities ................................................................. 4  
2. RATIONALE .................................................................................................................... 5  
3. RECRUITMENT OBJECTIVES ......................................................................................... 5  
4. INSTITUTIONAL COMMITMENT ..................................................................................... 6  
5. FUNDING INFORMATION ............................................................................................... 6  
6. ELIGIBILITY .................................................................................................................... 7  
7. RESUBMISSION POLICY .............................................................................................. 9  
8. RESPONDING TO THIS RFA ......................................................................................... 9  
   8.1. Application Submission Guidelines ......................................................................... 9  
   8.2. Application Components ....................................................................................... 10  
      8.2.1. Summary of Nomination (2,000 characters) ....................................................... 10  
      8.2.2. Institutional Commitment (3 pages) ................................................................. 10  
      8.2.3. Letter of Support from Department Chair (1 page) ......................................... 12  
      8.2.4. Curriculum Vitae (CV) .................................................................................... 12  
      8.2.5. Summary of Goals and Objectives (2,000 characters) ...................................... 13  
      8.2.6. Research (4 pages) ......................................................................................... 13  
      8.2.7. Research Collaboration/Synergy Plan (2 pages) .............................................. 13  
      8.2.8. Publications ..................................................................................................... 14  
      8.2.9. Timeline (1 page) ............................................................................................ 14  
      8.2.10. Current and Pending Support ......................................................................... 14  
      8.2.11. Letters of Recommendation ............................................................................ 14  
      8.2.12. Research Environment (1 page) ...................................................................... 14  
      8.2.13. Descriptive Biography (Up to 2 pages) ............................................................ 14  
9. APPLICATION REVIEW ............................................................................................... 15  
   9.1. Review Process ........................................................................................................ 15  
      9.1.1. Confidentiality of Review .................................................................................. 15  
   9.2. Review Criteria ........................................................................................................ 16  
10. KEY DATES .................................................................................................................. 18  
11. AWARD ADMINISTRATION ....................................................................................... 18  
12. REQUIREMENT TO DEMONSTRATE AVAILABLE FUNDS ...................................... 19  
13. CONTACT INFORMATION .......................................................................................... 20  
   13.1. Helpdesk .............................................................................................................. 20  
   13.2. Scientific and Programmatic Questions ................................................................. 20
RFA VERSION HISTORY

Rev 6/21/18   RFA release
1. **ABOUT CPRIT**

The State of Texas has established the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT), which may issue up to $3 billion in general obligation bonds to fund grants for cancer research and prevention.

CPRIT is charged by the Texas Legislature to do the following:

- Create and expedite innovation in the area of cancer research and in enhancing the potential for a medical or scientific breakthrough in the prevention of or cures for cancer;
- Attract, create, or expand research capabilities of public or private institutions of higher education and other public or private entities that will promote a substantial increase in cancer research and in the creation of high-quality new jobs in the State of Texas; and
- Develop and implement the Texas Cancer Plan.

1.1. **Academic Research Program Priorities**

The Texas Legislature has charged the CPRIT Oversight Committee with establishing program priorities on an annual basis. These priorities are intended to provide transparency with regard to how the Oversight Committee directs the orientation of the agency’s funding portfolio.

**Established Principles:**

- Scientific excellence and impact on cancer
- Targeting underfunded areas
- Increasing the life sciences infrastructure

The program priorities for academic research adopted by the Oversight Committee include funding projects that address the following:

- Recruitment of outstanding cancer researchers to Texas
- Investment in core facilities
- A broad range of innovative, investigator-initiated research projects
- Implementation research to accelerate the adoption and deployment of evidence-based prevention and screening interventions
- Computational biology and analytic methods
- Childhood cancers
- Hepatocellular cancer
2. **RATIONALE**

The aim of this award mechanism is to bolster cancer research in Texas by providing financial support to attract very promising investigators who are pursuing their first faculty appointment at the level of assistant professor (first-time, tenure-track faculty members). These individuals must have demonstrated academic excellence, innovation during predoctoral and/or postdoctoral research training, commitment to pursuing cancer research, and exceptional potential for achieving future impact in basic, translational, population-based, or clinical research. Awards are intended to provide institutions with a competitive edge in recruiting the world’s best talent in cancer research, thereby advancing cancer research efforts and promoting economic development in the State of Texas.

The recruitment of outstanding scientists will greatly enhance programs of scientific excellence in cancer research and will position Texas as a leader in the fight against cancer. Applications may address any research topic related to cancer biology, causation, prevention, detection or screening, or treatment. However, special consideration will be given to candidates with research programs addressing CPRIT’s priority areas for research. These include implementation research to accelerate the adoption and deployment of evidence-based prevention and screening interventions computational biology and analytic methods, childhood cancers, and hepatocellular cancer.

3. **RECRUITMENT OBJECTIVES**

The goal of this award mechanism is to recruit exceptional faculty to universities and/or cancer research institutions in the State of Texas. All candidates are expected to have completed their doctoral and fellowship training and to have clearly demonstrated truly superior ability as evidenced by their accomplishments during training, proposed research plan, publication record, and letters of recommendation. This CPRIT-supported initiative is designed to enhance innovative programs of excellence by providing research support for promising, early-stage investigators **seeking their first tenure-track position**.

CPRIT will provide start-up funding for newly independent investigators, with the goal of augmenting and expanding the institution’s efforts in cancer research. Candidates will be expected to develop research projects within the sponsoring institution. Projects should be
appropriate for a newly independent investigator and should foster the development of preliminary data that can be used to prepare applications for future independent research project grants to further both the investigator’s research career and the CPRIT mission. The institution will be expected to work with each newly recruited research faculty member to design and execute a faculty career development plan consistent with his or her research emphasis. Relevance to cancer research and to CPRIT’s priority areas are important evaluation criteria for CPRIT funding.

Unless prohibited by policy, the institution is also expected to bestow on the newly recruited faculty member the prestigious title of “CPRIT Scholar in Cancer Research,” and the faculty member should be strongly encouraged to use this title on letterhead, business cards, and other appropriate documents. The title is to be retained as long as the individual remains in Texas.

4. **INSTITUTIONAL COMMITMENT**

CPRIT recruitment awards are intended to provide institutions with a competitive edge in recruiting the world’s best talent in cancer research to Texas. The funds provided by CPRIT for the recruitment of a First-Time Tenure-Track Faculty should therefore be complemented by a strong institutional commitment to the candidate’s career development that includes financial commitments that are in addition to the CPRIT award. The institutional commitment should be clearly documented in the application (see section 8.2.2) and include the amount and sources of salary support and all additional financial support that will be available to the candidate’s research program through the course of the CPRIT award. The financial commitments made to the candidate for his or her research program by the recruiting institution are required to be equal to or exceed 50% of the proposed CPRIT award across the course of the CPRIT Award.

5. **FUNDING INFORMATION**

This award is up to 5 years and is not renewable, although individuals may apply for other future CPRIT funding as appropriate. Grant funds of up to $2,000,000 (total costs) for the 5-year period may be requested. Funding is to be used by the candidate to support his or her research program. The award request may include indirect costs of up to 5% of the total award amount (5.263% of the direct costs). CPRIT will make every effort to be flexible in the timing for disbursement of funds; recipients will be asked at the beginning of each year for an estimate of their needs for the
year. In addition, funds for extraordinary equipment needs may be awarded in the first year of the grant if very well justified.

**Funds from this CPRIT award may not be used for salary support of this candidate or to construct or renovate laboratory space.** No annual limit on the number of potential award recipients has been set.

**Note:** Depending on the availability of funds, nominations submitted in response to this Request for Applications (RFA) during the current receipt period may be announced and awarded either in the current fiscal year (prior to August 31, 2019) or in the first quarter of the next fiscal year (starting September 1, 2019).

6. **ELIGIBILITY**

- The applicant must be a Texas-based entity. Any not-for-profit institution that conducts research is eligible to apply for funding under this award mechanism. A public or private company is not eligible for funding under this award mechanism.
- Candidates must be nominated by the president, provost, vice president for research, or appropriate dean of a Texas-based public or private institution of higher education, including academic health institutions. The application must be submitted on behalf of a specific candidate.
- A candidate may be nominated by only 1 institution. If more than 1 institution is interested in a given candidate, negotiations as to which institution will nominate him or her must be concluded before the nomination is made. There is no limit to the number of applications that an institution may submit during a review cycle.
- A candidate who has already accepted a position as assistant professor tenure track at the recruiting institution prior to the time that the Scientific Review Council reviews the candidate for a recruitment award is not eligible for a recruitment award, as an investment by CPRIT is obviously not necessary. No award is final until approved by the Oversight Committee at a public meeting. However, in recognition of the timeline involved with recruiting highly sought-after candidates who are often considering multiple offers, CPRIT’s Academic Research program staff will notify the nominating institution of the Scientific Review Council’s review decision following the Scientific
Review Council meeting. If a position is offered to the candidate during the period following the Scientific Review Council’s review decision but prior to the Oversight Committee’s final approval, the institution does so at its own risk. There is no guarantee that the recruitment award will be approved by the Oversight Committee.

- The candidate must have a doctoral degree, including MD, PhD, DDS, DMD, DrPH, DO, DVM, or equivalent, and reside in Texas for the duration of the appointment. The candidate must devote at least 70% time to research activities. Candidates whose major responsibilities are clinical care, teaching, or administration are not eligible.

- At the time of the application, the candidate must **not** hold an appointment at the rank of assistant professor or above (or equivalent) at an accredited academic institution, research institution, industry, government agency, or private foundation not primarily based in Texas. Candidates holding non-tenure-track appointments at the rank of assistant professor are **not** eligible for this award. Examples of such appointments include research assistant professor, adjunct research assistant professor, assistant professor (non-tenure track). The candidate **may or may not** reside in Texas at the time the application is submitted and may be nominated for a faculty position at the Texas institution where he or she is completing postdoctoral training.

- Successful candidates will be offered tenure-track academic positions at the rank of assistant professor.

- An applicant is eligible to receive a grant award only if the applicant certifies that the applicant institution or organization, including the nominator, any senior member or key personnel listed on the grant application, or any officer or director of the grant applicant’s institution or organization (or any person related to 1 or more of these individuals within the second degree of consanguinity or affinity), has not made and will not make a contribution to CPRIT or to any foundation specifically created to benefit CPRIT.

- An applicant is not eligible to receive a CPRIT grant award if the applicant nominator, any senior member or key personnel listed on the grant application, or any officer or director of the grant applicant’s institution or organization is related to a CPRIT Oversight Committee member.

- The applicant must report whether the applicant institution or organization, the nominator, or other individuals who contribute to the execution of the proposed project in
a substantive, measurable way, whether or not the individuals will receive salary or compensation under the grant award, are currently ineligible to receive federal grant funds or have had a grant terminated for cause within 5 years prior to the submission date of the grant application.

CPRIT grants will be awarded by contract to successful applicants. Certain contractual requirements are mandated by Texas law or by administrative rules. Although applicants need not demonstrate the ability to comply with these contractual requirements at the time the application is submitted, applicants should make themselves aware of these standards before submitting a grant application. Significant issues addressed by the CPRIT contract are listed in section 11 and section 12. All statutory provisions and relevant administrative rules can be found at www.cprit.texas.gov.

7. RESUBMISSION POLICY
Resubmissions will not be accepted for the Recruitment of First-Time, Tenure-Track Faculty Members award mechanism. Any nomination for the Recruitment of First-Time, Tenure-Track Faculty Members that was previously submitted to CPRIT and reviewed but was not recommended for funding may not be resubmitted. If a nomination was administratively rejected prior to review, it can be resubmitted in the following cycles.

8. RESPONDING TO THIS RFA
8.1. Application Submission Guidelines
Applications must be submitted via the CPRIT Application Receipt System (CARS) (https://CPRITGrants.org). Only applications submitted through this portal will be considered eligible for evaluation. The applicant is eligible solely for the grant mechanism specified by the RFA under which the grant application is submitted. Candidates must be nominated by the institution’s president, provost, vice president for research, or appropriate dean. The individual submitting the application (Nominator) must create a user account in the system to start and submit an application. Furthermore, the Application Signing Official, who is the person authorized to sign and submit the application for the organization, and the Grants
Contract/Office of Sponsored Projects Official, who is the individual who will manage the grant contract if an award is made, also must create a user account in CARS.

Applications will be accepted on a continuous basis throughout FY19. In order to manage the timely review of nominations, it is anticipated that applications submitted by 11:59 PM central time on the 20th day of each month will be reviewed by the 15th day of the following month. For an application to be considered for review during the monthly cycle, that application must be submitted on or before 11:59 PM central time. In the event that the 20th falls on Saturday or Sunday, applications may be submitted on or before 11:59 PM central time the following Monday. CPRIT will not extend the submission deadline. During periods when CPRIT does not receive an adequate number of applications, the review may be extended into the following month. Submission of an application is considered an acceptance of the terms and conditions of the RFA.

8.2. Application Components

Applicants are advised to follow all instructions to ensure accurate and complete submission of all components of the application. For details, please refer to the Instructions for Applicants document that will be available when the application receipt system opens. Submissions that are missing 1 or more components or do not meet the eligibility requirements listed in section 6 will be administratively withdrawn without review.

8.2.1. Summary of Nomination (2,000 characters)

Provide a brief summary of the nomination. Include the candidate’s name, organization from which the candidate is being recruited, and also the department and/or entity within the nominator’s organization where the candidate will hold the faculty position.

8.2.2. Institutional Commitment (3 pages)

CPRIT recruitment awards are intended to provide institutions with a competitive edge in recruiting the world’s best talent in cancer research to Texas. The funds provided by CPRIT for the recruitment of a First-Time Tenure-Track Faculty should therefore be complemented by a strongly documented institutional commitment to the candidate’s career development that includes financial commitments that are in addition to the CPRIT award.
The institutional commitment should be clearly documented in the application in the form of a letter signed by the applicant institution’s president, provost, or appropriate dean and include the amount and sources of salary support and all additional financial support that will be available to the candidate’s research program through the course of the CPRIT award. The financial commitments made to the candidate by the recruiting institution are required to be equal to or exceed 50% of the proposed CPRIT award across the course of the CPRIT award.

NOTE: INSTITUTIONAL COMMITMENT AS DESCRIBED ABOVE MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE GRANT APPLICATION, PRESENTED IN A TABULAR SUMMARY THAT CLEARLY IDENTIFIES THE SALARY AMOUNT, SOURCES, AND ANY ADDITIONAL RESEARCH SUPPORT FROM INSTITUTIONAL SOURCES OVER THE COURSE OF THE CPRIT AWARD.

The following guidelines should be used when documenting the institutional commitment in the letter signed by the applicant institution’s president, provost, or appropriate dean.

1. Demonstrate the organization’s commitment to bringing the candidate to Texas.
2. State the total award amount requested.
3. Include a brief job description for the candidate should recruitment be successful.
4. Clearly describe the institutional commitment to the candidate including total salary and fringe benefits and sources of salary support through the course of the CPRIT award; additional financial support for the applicant’s research program including dedicated personnel, access to students, amounts for equipment and supplies; space assignment and access to shared equipment; and all other agreements between the institution and the candidate.
5. This information is required to be provided as a tabular summary that states the approximate amounts assigned to each item.
6. Institutions may provide additional information in support of a candidate’s research plan to demonstrate how the institutional commitment through development of strategic collaborations will foster a candidate’s cancer research. This additional information is encouraged when proposing a candidate with exceptional expertise and/or talent that can be
directed to cancer research such as a computational biologist, chemist, etc, whose prior experience has not been directly focused on cancer research.

Note that Texas law allows an institution of higher learning to use a federal indirect cost rate credit to comply with the requirement to demonstrate that it has an amount of funds equal to one-half of the CPRIT funding dedicated to the research that is the subject of the award (see section 12). However, a federal indirect cost rate credit should not be used to demonstrate an institutional commitment to the candidate.

8.2.3. **Letter of Support from Department Chair (1 page)**

Provide the letter of support from and signed by the chair of the department to which the candidate is being recruited. The following information should be included in the letter:

**Recruitment Activities:** The letter should provide a description of the recruitment activities, strategies, and priorities that have led to the nomination of this candidate.

**Caliber of Candidate:** The letter should include a description of the caliber of the candidate and justification of the nomination of the candidate by the institution.

**Description of Candidate Duties and Certification of 70% Time Commitment to Research:**

While scholars may engage in direct patient care activities and/or have some administrative or teaching duties, at least 70% of the candidate’s time must be available for research. Breach of this requirement will constitute grounds for discontinuation of funding. The certification that 70% time will be spent on research must be included.

The letter of support from the department chair must also do the following:

1. Describe how the candidate will be independent and autonomous in developing his or her research program at the institution;

2. Present a plan for mentoring that includes the design and execution of a faculty career development plan for the candidate.

8.2.4. **Curriculum Vitae (CV)**

Provide a complete CV and list of publications for the candidate. Only articles that have been published or that have been accepted for publication (“in press”) should be cited.
8.2.5. **Summary of Goals and Objectives (2,000 characters)**

List very broad goals and objectives to be achieved during this award. **This section must be completed by the candidate.**

8.2.6. **Research (4 pages)**

Summarize the key elements of the candidate’s research accomplishments and provide an overview of the proposed research by outlining the background and rationale, hypotheses and aims, strategies, goals, and projected impact of the focus of the research program. Highlight the innovative aspects of this effort and place it into context with regard to what pressing problem in cancer will be addressed. **This section of the application must be prepared by the candidate. References cited in this section must be included within the stated page limit. Any appropriate citation format is acceptable; official journal abbreviations should be used.**

Candidates for CPRIT Scholar Awards must include the following signed statement at the end of this section. **Applications that do not contain this signed statement will be returned without review.**

“I understand that I do not need to have made a commitment to <nominating institution> before this application has been submitted. However, I also understand that only 1 Texas institution may nominate me for a CPRIT Recruitment Award, and this is the nomination that I have endorsed. I understand that requests to change the recruiting institution during the recruitment process are inappropriate.”

8.2.7. **Research Collaboration/Synergy Plan (2 pages)**

Institutions may provide additional information in support of a candidate’s research plan to demonstrate how the institutional commitment through development of strategic collaborations will foster a candidate’s cancer research. This additional information is encouraged when proposing a candidate with exceptional expertise and/or talent that can be directed to cancer research, such as a computational biologist, chemist, etc, whose prior experience has not been directly focused on cancer research. Biographical sketches of collaborators established in the research collaborative plan must be uploaded as part of the application. This will be in addition to the 2 page synergy plan (see IFA).
8.2.8. Publications

Provide the 3 most significant publications that have resulted from the candidate’s research efforts. Publications should be uploaded as PDFs of full-text articles. Only articles that have been published or that have been accepted for publication (“in press”) should be submitted.

8.2.9. Timeline (1 page)

Provide a general outline of anticipated major award outcomes to be tracked. Timelines will be reviewed during the evaluation of annual progress reports. If the application is approved for funding, this section will be included in the award contract. Applicants are advised not to include information that they consider confidential or proprietary when preparing this section.

8.2.10. Current and Pending Support

State the funding source, duration, and title of all current and pending research support held by the candidate. If the candidate has no current or pending funding, a document stating this must be submitted. Refer to the sample current and pending support document located in Current Funding Opportunities for Academic Research in CARS.

8.2.11. Letters of Recommendation

Provide 3 letters of recommendation from individuals who are in a position to detail the candidate’s academic and scientific research accomplishments, potential for high-impact research, and ability to make a significant contribution to the field of cancer research.

8.2.12. Research Environment (1 page)

Clearly and concisely describe the research environment available to support the candidate’s research program, including core facilities, training programs, and collaborative opportunities.

8.2.13. Descriptive Biography (Up to 2 pages)

Provide a brief descriptive biography of the candidate, including his or her accomplishments, education and training, professional experience, awards and honors, publications relevant to cancer research, and a brief overview of the candidate’s goals if selected to receive the award. This section of the application must be prepared by the candidate. If the application is approved for funding, this section will be made publicly available on CPRIT’s website.
Candidates are advised not to include information that they consider confidential or proprietary when preparing this section.

Applications that are missing 1 or more of these components; exceed the specified page, word, or budget limits; or do not meet the eligibility requirements listed above will be administratively withdrawn without review.

9. APPLICATION REVIEW

9.1. Review Process

All eligible applications will be evaluated and scored by the CPRIT Scientific Review Council using the criteria listed in this RFA. Applications may be submitted continuously in response to this RFA but will generally be reviewed on a monthly basis by the CPRIT Scientific Review Council. Council members may seek additional ad hoc evaluations of candidates. Scientific Review Council members will review applications and provide an individual Overall Evaluation Score that conveys the members’ recommendation related to the proposed recruitment. Applications recommended by the Council will be forwarded to the CPRIT Program Integration Committee (PIC) for review, prioritization, and recommendation to the CPRIT Oversight Committee for approval and funding. Approval is based on an application receiving a positive vote from at least two-thirds of the members of the Oversight Committee. The review process is described more fully in CPRIT’s Administrative Rules, chapter 703, sections 703.6 to 703.8.

The decision of the Scientific Review Council not to recommend an application is final, and such applications may not be resubmitted for a recruitment award. Notification of review decisions is sent to the nominator.

9.1.1. Confidentiality of Review

Each stage of application review is conducted confidentially, and all CPRIT Scientific Review Council members, PIC members, CPRIT employees, and Oversight Committee members with access to grant application information are required to sign nondisclosure statements regarding the contents of the applications. All technological and scientific information included in the application is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Health and Safety Code §102.262(b).
Individuals directly involved with the review process operate under strict conflict-of-interest prohibitions. All CPRIT Scientific Review Council members are non-Texas residents.

**By submitting a grant application, the applicant agrees and understands that the only basis for reconsideration of a grant application is limited to an undisclosed conflict of interest as set forth in CPRIT’s Administrative Rules, chapter 703, section 703.9.**

Communication regarding the substance of a pending application is prohibited between the grant applicant (or someone on the grant applicant’s behalf) and the following individuals: an Oversight Committee member, a PIC member, or a Scientific Review Council member. Applicants should note that the CPRIT PIC comprises the CPRIT Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Scientific Officer, the Chief Prevention and Communications Officer, the Chief Product Development Officer, and the Commissioner of the Department of State Health Services. The prohibition on communication begins on the first day that grant applications for the particular grant mechanism are accepted by CPRIT and extends until the grant applicant receives notice regarding a final decision on the grant application. Intentional, serious, or frequent violations of this rule may result in the disqualification of the grant applicant from further consideration for a grant award.

**9.2. Review Criteria**

Applications will be assessed based on evaluation of the quality of the candidate and his or her potential for continued superb performance as a cancer researcher. Also of critical importance is the strength of the institutional commitment to the candidate. Recruitment efforts are not likely to be successful unless there is a strong commitment from both CPRIT and the host institution.

It is not necessary that a candidate agree to accept the recruitment offer at the time an application is submitted. However, applicant institutions should have reasonable expectation that the recruitment will be successful if an award is granted by CPRIT.

Review criteria will focus on the overall impression of the candidate, his or her proposed research program, and his or her long-term contribution to and impact on the field of cancer research. Questions to be considered by the reviewers are as follows:
Quality of the Candidate: Has the candidate demonstrated academic excellence? Has the candidate received excellent predoctoral and postdoctoral training? Does the candidate show exceptional potential for achieving future impact on basic, translational, clinical, or population-based cancer research in the future? Has the candidate demonstrated a commitment to cancer research? Has the candidate demonstrated independence or the potential for independence?

Scientific Merit of Proposed Research: Is the research plan comprehensive and well thought out? Does the proposed research program demonstrate innovation, creativity, and feasibility? Will it have a significant impact on the field of cancer research? Will the proposed research generate preliminary data that can be used for the preparation of applications for future independent research project grants?

Relevance of Candidate’s Research: Is the proposed research likely to have a significant impact on reducing the burden of cancer in the near term? Does the research contribute to basic, translational, clinical, or population-based cancer research?

Letters of Recommendation: Do the letters of recommendation detail the candidate’s academic and clinical research accomplishments, potential for high-impact research, and ability to make a significant contribution to the field of cancer research?

Research Environment: Does the institution have the necessary facilities, expertise, and resources to support the candidate’s research? Is there evidence of strong institutional support? Will the candidate be free of major administrative/clinical responsibilities so that he or she can focus on growing his or her research? Has the institution identified a mentor who will design and execute a faculty career development plan for the candidate?
10. **KEY DATES**

**RFA**

RFA Release  
June 21, 2018

**Application Receipt and Review Timeline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application Receipt System opens 7 AM CT</th>
<th>Application Receipt</th>
<th>Anticipated Application Review</th>
<th>Application Closing Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 21, 2018</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Monthly by the 15th day of the month</td>
<td>June 20, 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. **AWARD ADMINISTRATION**

Texas law requires that CPRIT grant awards be made by contract between the applicant and CPRIT. CPRIT grant awards are made to institutions or organizations, not to individuals. Awards made under this RFA are not transferable to another institution. Award contract negotiation and execution will commence once the CPRIT Oversight Committee has approved an application for a grant award. CPRIT may require, as a condition of receiving a grant award, that the grant recipient use CPRIT’s electronic Grant Management System to exchange, execute, and verify legally binding grant contract documents and grant award reports. Such use shall be in accordance with CPRIT’s electronic signature policy as set forth in chapter 701, section 701.25.

Texas law specifies several components that must be addressed by the award contract, including needed compliance and assurance documentation, budgetary review, progress and fiscal monitoring, and terms relating to revenue sharing and intellectual property rights. These contract provisions are specified in CPRIT’s Administrative Rules, which are available at [www.cprit.texas.gov](http://www.cprit.texas.gov).

Applicants are advised to review CPRIT’s Administrative Rules related to contractual requirements associated with CPRIT grant awards and limitations related to the use of CPRIT grant awards as set forth in chapter 703, sections 703.10, 703.12.

Prior to disbursement of grant award funds, the grant recipient organization must demonstrate that it has adopted and enforces a tobacco-free workplace policy consistent with the requirements set forth in CPRIT’s Administrative Rules, chapter 703, section 703.20.
CPRIT requires award recipients to submit an annual progress report. These reports summarize the progress made toward the research goals and address plans for the upcoming year. In addition, fiscal reporting, human studies reporting, and vertebrate animal use reporting will be required as appropriate. Continuation of funding is contingent upon the timely receipt of these reports. Failure to provide timely and complete reports may waive reimbursement of grant award costs and may result in the termination of the award contract. Forms and instructions will be made available at www.cprit.texas.gov.

12. REQUIREMENT TO DEMONSTRATE AVAILABLE FUNDS

Texas law requires that prior to disbursement of CPRIT grant funds, the award recipient must demonstrate that it has an amount of funds equal to one-half of the CPRIT funding dedicated to the research that is the subject of the award. The demonstration of available matching funds must be made at the time the award contract is executed and annually thereafter, not when the application is submitted. Grant applicants are advised to consult CPRIT’s Administrative Rules, chapter 703, section 703.11, for specific requirements regarding the demonstration of available funding.
13. CONTACT INFORMATION

13.1. Helpdesk

Helpdesk support is available for questions regarding user registration and online submission of applications. Queries submitted via email will be answered within 1 business day. Helpdesk staff members are not in a position to answer questions regarding scientific aspects of applications.

**Hours of operation:** Monday through Friday, 8 AM to 6 PM central time
**Tel:** 866-941-7146
**Email:** Help@CPRITGrants.org

13.2. Scientific and Programmatic Questions

Questions regarding the CPRIT Program, including questions regarding this or other funding opportunities, should be directed to the CPRIT Senior Program Manager for Academic Research.

**Tel:** 512-305-8491
**Email:** Help@CPRITGrants.org
**Website:** www.cprit.texas.gov
Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT)
Observation Report

Program Name: Academic Research
Panel Date: 9/13/2018
Report Date: 9/14/2018

BACKGROUND
As part of CPRIT’s ongoing emphasis on continuous improvement in its grants review/management processes and to ensure panel discussions are limited to the merits of the applications and focused on established evaluation criteria, CPRIT continues to engage a third-party independent observer at all in-person and telephone conference peer review meetings. CPRIT has authorized an independent party to function as a neutral third-party observer. CPRIT engaged Business and Financial Management Solutions, LLC (BFS) as the third-party observer.

INTRODUCTION
The subject of this report is the Recruitment Review Panel – 19.1-2 (REC_19.1-2) meeting. The meeting was chaired by Richard Kolodner and conducted via teleconference on September 13, 2018.

PANEL OBSERVATION OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE
The third-party observation engagement was limited to observation of the following objectives:

- CPRIT’s established procedure for panelists who have declared a conflict of interest is followed during the meeting (e.g., reviewers hang up from the teleconference or leave the room when an application with which there is a conflict is discussed);
- CPRIT program staff participation at meetings is limited to offering general points of information;
- CPRIT program staff do not engage in the panel’s discussion on the merits of applications; and
- The panel focused on the established scoring criteria and/or making recommendations.
**Summary of Observation Results**

Two (2) BFS independent observers participated in observing the meeting. GDIT, CPRIT’s contracted third-party grant application administrator, facilitated the meeting.

The independent observers noted the following during the meeting:

- Number (#) of applications: Six (6) applications were discussed;
- Panelists: One (1) panel chair and five (5) expert reviewers and zero (0) advocate reviewers;
- Panelists’ discussions were limited to the application evaluation criteria;
- GDIT staff employees: Two (2);
- GDIT staff did not participate in the discussions concerning the merits of applications;
- CPRIT staff employees: Two (2);
- CPRIT program staff participation was limited to clarifying policies, and answering procedural questions.

There was one (1) COIs identified prior to and/or during the meeting. COIs were excluded from discussions concerning applications for which there was a conflict.

A list of all attendees, a sign-in log, and informational materials were provided by GDIT to aid in the observation of the COI procedures and objectives. A completed attendance sheet and sign-in log was provided following the meeting to confirm all attendees and COIs.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion; we observed that the activities of the meeting identified herein were limited to the identified objectives noted earlier in this report.

BFS’s third-party observation services did not include an evaluation of the appropriateness or rigor of the review panel’s discussion of scientific, technical, or programmatic aspects of the applications. We were not engaged to perform an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the accuracy of voting and scoring. Accordingly, we will not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.
This report is intended solely for the information and use of CPRIT, its management and its Oversight Committee members. This report is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

With best regards,

Mara Ash, CIA, CGAP, CGFM, CMRA
Senior Partner
Business & Financial Management Solutions, LLC

cc: Vince Burgess, Chief Compliance Officer
    Cameron Eckel, Attorney
Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT)  
Recruitment Review Panel Meeting (REC_19.3)  
Observation Report

Report No.: 2018-10-11 REC_19.3  
Program Name: Academic Research  
Panel Name: Recruitment Review Panel Meeting (REC_19.3)  
Panel Date: 10/11/2018  
Report Date: 10/12/2018

BACKGROUND  
As part of CPRIT’s ongoing emphasis on continuous improvement in its grants review/management processes and to ensure panel discussions are limited to the merits of the applications and focused on established evaluation criteria, CPRIT continues to engage a third-party independent observer at all in-person and telephone conference peer review meetings. CPRIT has authorized an independent party to function as a neutral third-party observer and has engaged Business and Financial Management Solutions, LLC (BFS) for that purpose.

INTRODUCTION  
The subject of this report is the Recruitment Review Panel Meeting (REC_19.3) meeting. The meeting was chaired by Richard Kolodner and conducted via web conference on October 11, 2018.

PANEL OBSERVATION OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE  
The third-party observation engagement was limited to observation of the following objectives:

- CPRIT’s established procedure for panelists who have declared a conflict of interest is followed during the meeting (e.g., reviewers hang up from the teleconference or leave the room when an application with which there is a conflict is discussed);
- CPRIT program staff participation at meetings is limited to offering general points of information;
- CPRIT program staff do not engage in the panel’s discussion on the merits of applications; and
- The panel focused on the established scoring criteria and/or making recommendations.
SUMMARY OF OBSERVATION RESULTS
Two (2) BFS independent observers participated in observing the meeting. GDIT, CPRIT’s contracted third-party grant application administrator, facilitated the meeting.

The independent observers noted the following during the meeting:

- Number (#) of applications: Seven (7) applications were discussed
- Panelists: One (1) panel chair and five (5) expert reviewers
- Panelists’ discussions were limited to the application evaluation criteria
- GDIT staff employees: Three (3)
- GDIT staff did not participate in discussions concerning the merits of applications
- CPRIT staff employees: Two (2)
- CPRIT program staff participation was limited to reviewing and clarifying policies, and answering procedural questions

There were two (2) COIs identified prior to and/or during the meeting. COIs were excluded from discussions concerning applications for which there was a conflict, respectively.

A list of all attendees, a sign-in log and informational materials were provided by GDIT to aid in the observation of the COI procedures and objectives. A completed attendance sheet and sign-in log was provided following the meeting to confirm all attendees and COIs.

CONCLUSION
In conclusion; we observed that the activities of the meeting identified herein were limited to the identified objectives noted earlier in this report.

BFS’s third-party observation services did not include an evaluation of the appropriateness or rigor of the review panel’s discussions of scientific, technical, or programmatic aspects of the applications. We were not engaged to perform an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the accuracy of voting and scoring. Accordingly, we will not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.
This report is intended solely for the information and use of CPRIT, its management and its Oversight Committee members. This report is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

With best regards,

Mara Ash, CIA, CGAP, CGFM, CMRA  
Senior Partner  
Business & Financial Management Solutions, LLC

cc: Vince Burgess, Chief Compliance Officer  
Cameron Eckel, Attorney
Conflicts of Interest Disclosure
Conflicts of Interest Disclosure

Academic Research Recruitment Cycle 18.10-18.12 Applications
(Academic Research Recruitment Cycle 19.1-19.3 Awards Announced at November 28, 2018, Oversight Committee Meeting)

The table below lists the conflicts of interest (COIs) identified by peer reviewers, Program Integration Committee (PIC) members, and Oversight Committee members on an application-by-application basis. Applications reviewed in Academic Research Recruitment Cycle 19.1-19.3 include Recruitment of Rising Stars; Recruitment of Established Investigators; and Recruitment of First-Time, Tenure-Track Faculty Members. All applications with at least one identified COI are listed below; applications with no COIs are not included. It should be noted that an individual is asked to identify COIs for only those applications that are to be considered by the individual at that particular stage in the review process. For example, Oversight Committee members identify COIs, if any, with only those applications that have been recommended for the grant awards by the PIC. COI information used for this table was collected by General Dynamics Information Technology (GDIT), CPRIT’s third party grant administrator, and by CPRIT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application ID</th>
<th>Applicant/PI</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Conflict Noted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RR190017</td>
<td>Gulio Draetta</td>
<td>The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center</td>
<td>Margaret Tempero</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR190002</td>
<td>Sterling Johnston</td>
<td>The University of Texas at Austin</td>
<td>Tom Sellers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR190012</td>
<td>Jon Weidanz</td>
<td>The University of Texas at Arlington</td>
<td>Peter Jones</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
De-Identified Overall Evaluation Scores
## Recruitment of First-Time, Tenure Track Faculty Members

Academic Research Recruitment Cycles 19.1-19.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application ID</th>
<th>Final Overall Evaluation Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RR190003*</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR190017*</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Recommended for award
Final Overall Evaluation Scores
and Rank Order Scores
October 15, 2018

Mr. Will Montgomery  
Oversight Committee Presiding Officer  
Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas  
Via email to wsmcprit@gmail.com

Mr. Wayne R. Roberts  
Chief Executive Officer  
Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas  
Via email to wroberts@cprit.texas.gov

Dear Mr. Montgomery and Mr. Roberts,

The Scientific Review Council (SRC) is pleased to submit this list of recruitment grant recommendations. The SRC met on September 13, 2018 (REC Cycles 19.1 and 19.2), and October 11, 2018 (REC Cycle 19.3) to consider the applications submitted to CPRIT under the Recruitment of Established Investigators, Recruitment of Rising Stars and Recruitment of First-Time Tenure Track Faculty Members.

The projects on the attached list are numerically ranked in the order the SRC recommends the applications be funded. Recommended funding amounts and the overall evaluation scores are stated for each grant applications. There were no recommended changes to funding amounts, goals, timelines, or project objectives requested. The total amount for the applications recommended for all cycles is $16,000,000.

These recommendations meet the SRC’s standards for grant award funding. These standards include selecting candidates at all career levels that have demonstrated academic excellence, innovation, excellent training, a commitment to cancer research and exceptional potential for achieving future impact in basic, translational, population based or clinical research.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Richard D. Kolodner, Ph.D.  
Chair, CPRIT Scientific Review Council

Attachment
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>App ID</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Overall Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RR190003</td>
<td>Ansuman Satpathy, M.D., Ph.D.</td>
<td>RFTFM</td>
<td>Baylor College of Medicine</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RR190017</td>
<td>Matthew Gubin, Ph.D.</td>
<td>RFTFM</td>
<td>The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RR190009</td>
<td>Thomas D. Wang, M.D., Ph.D.</td>
<td>REI</td>
<td>The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RR190015</td>
<td>Shideng Bao, Ph.D.</td>
<td>REI</td>
<td>The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REI: Recruitment of Established Investigators  
RRS: Recruitment of Rising Stars  
RFTFM: Recruitment of First-Time Tenure Track Faculty Members
CANCER PREVENTION & RESEARCH
INSTITUTE OF TEXAS

CEO AFFIDAVIT
Application RR190003
Recruitment of First-Time, Tenure-Track Faculty Members
Nomination of Dr. Ansuman Satpathy, M.D., Ph.D.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF TRAVIS

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Wayne R. Roberts, who swore or affirmed to tell the truth, and stated as follows:

“My name is Wayne R. Roberts, the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT). I am of sound mind and capable of making this sworn statement. I submit this affidavit pursuant to the legal requirement imposed by V.T.C.A., Health & Safety Code § 102.251(c).

My affidavit addresses the grant review process for the application stated above that is recommended for a CPRIT grant award by the Program Integration Committee (PIC). This application was submitted pursuant to Recruitment of First-Time, Tenure-Track Faculty Members Request for Applications (RFA). CPRIT received five applications for cycles 19.1 through 19.3 in response to this RFA. This application was assigned to the Scientific Review Council for review. A preliminary evaluation process as described by 25 T.A.C. § 703.6(e)(1) was not used for applications in this cycle.

CPRIT staff and CPRIT’s third-party grants management vendor have recorded information and prepared documents during the course of their employment that are related to CPRIT’s grant review process described by Health & Safety Code Chapter 102. I have reviewed the information prepared by CPRIT staff and CPRIT’s third-party grants management vendor in my capacity as CPRIT’s CEO to prepare this affidavit. Some information ("CEO Affidavit-Supporting Information") is applicable to all applications recommended for awards submitted pursuant to this RFA. The information listed below has been compiled as one packet and is incorporated herein by reference:

- The applicable Request for Applications (RFA) for this grant cycle
- An overview of the conflict of interest process, including any conflict of interest waivers granted
- The third party observer report(s) documenting that CPRIT’s grant review processes were followed by the review panel evaluating the applications in this grant cycle
- A de-identified list of the overall evaluation scores for applications submitted pursuant to the applicable RFA for this grant cycle
- A final overall evaluation score and rank order score submitted by the SRPP committees for the grant applications recommended by the PIC in this cycle.

In addition to the CEO Affidavit-Supporting Information that is applicable to all applications submitted pursuant to the applicable RFA and recommended for grant awards this cycle, I have also reviewed the application's grant pedigree. The grant pedigree for the application listed above has been attached to this affidavit. The application pedigree provides an overview of the conflict of interest process applicable to this application, including any conflicts of interest reported by the review panel or by the PIC. I note that the following PIC members have approved conflict of interest waivers on file for FY2019: Dr. John Hellerstedt, Department of State Health Services Commissioner, applicable to the conflict of interest specified by V.T.C.A., Health & Safety Code § 102.106(c)(3); and Dr. Becky Garcia, Chief Prevention Officer, applicable to the conflict of interest specified by V.T.C.A., Health & Safety Code § 102.106(c)(1). At the time of signing this affidavit, the Oversight Committee has not yet reviewed the application; however, I note that member Will Montgomery also has a conflict of interest waiver on file for FY2019 applicable to the conflict of interest specified by V.T.C.A., Health & Safety Code § 102.106(c)(4).

I personally reviewed the information for the grant application listed above and referenced herein. Based upon my review of the information and to the best of my knowledge, I swear or affirm that the peer review process for the grant application was consistent, in all material aspects, with the process described in the statute and CPRIT’s administrative rules. This statement is true.”

Wayne R. Roberts,
CEO, Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas

State of Texas
County of Travis

SWORN to and SUBSCRIBED before me, the undersigned authority, on the 1st day of November, 2018, by WAYNE R. ROBERTS.

Melanie Cleveland
Notary Public, State of Texas
**APPLICATION PEDIGREE**

Date and time exported: 11/01/2018 09:50 AM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Compliance Requirement</th>
<th>Information Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Receipt</td>
<td>RFA Approved by CSO</td>
<td>06/11/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RFA published in Texas.gov eGrants</td>
<td>08/06/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPRIT Application Receipt Cycle opened</td>
<td>06/21/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPRIT Application Receipt Cycle closed</td>
<td>08/20/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.2 Application Receipt Cycle opened</td>
<td>07/23/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.2 Application Receipt Cycle closed</td>
<td>08/20/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date application submitted</td>
<td>08/20/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Method of submission</td>
<td>CARS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within receipt period</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt, Referral, and</td>
<td>Administrative review notification</td>
<td>08/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment</td>
<td>Donation(s) made to CPRIT / foundation</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assigned to primary reviewers</td>
<td>08/30/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Applicant notified of review panel assignment</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Reviewer 1 COI signed</td>
<td>08/24/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Reviewer 2 COI signed</td>
<td>08/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Review Meeting</td>
<td>Primary Reviewer 1 critique submitted</td>
<td>09/05/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Reviewer 2 critique submitted</td>
<td>09/10/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COI indicated by non-primary reviewer</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COI recused from participation</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discussed at Peer Review Meeting</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peer Review Meeting</td>
<td>09/13/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post review statements signed</td>
<td>09/24/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Third Party Observer Report</td>
<td>09/14/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Score report delivered to CSO</td>
<td>09/21/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommended for SRC review</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final SRC Recommendation</td>
<td>COI indicated by SRC member</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COI recused from participation</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SRC Meeting</td>
<td>09/13/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Third Party Observer Report</td>
<td>09/14/2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CANCER PREVENTION AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF TEXAS**
The identity of the attesting party is retained by CPRIT.
CANCER PREVENTION & RESEARCH
INSTITUTE OF TEXAS

CEO AFFIDAVIT
Application RR190009
Recruitment of Established Investigators
Nomination of Thomas D. Wang, M.D., Ph.D.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF TRAVIS

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Wayne R. Roberts, who swore or affirmed to tell the truth, and stated as follows:

“My name is Wayne R. Roberts, the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT). I am of sound mind and capable of making this sworn statement. I submit this affidavit pursuant to the legal requirement imposed by V.T.C.A., Health & Safety Code § 102.251(c).

My affidavit addresses the grant review process for the application stated above that is recommended for a CPRIT grant award by the Program Integration Committee (PIC). This application was submitted pursuant to Recruitment of Established Investigators Request for Applications (RFA). CPRIT received six applications for cycles 19.1 through 19.3 in response to this RFA. This application was assigned to the Scientific Review Council for review. A preliminary evaluation process as described by 25 T.A.C. § 703.6(e)(1) was not used for applications in this cycle.

CPRIT staff and CPRIT's third-party grants management vendor have recorded information and prepared documents during the course of their employment that are related to CPRIT's grant review process described by Health & Safety Code Chapter 102. I have reviewed the information prepared by CPRIT staff and CPRIT's third-party grants management vendor in my capacity as CPRIT's CEO to prepare this affidavit. Some information ("CEO Affidavit-Supporting Information") is applicable to all applications recommended for awards submitted pursuant to this RFA. The information listed below has been compiled as one packet and is incorporated herein by reference:

- The applicable Request for Applications (RFA) for this grant cycle
- An overview of the conflict of interest process, including any conflict of interest waivers granted
- The third party observer report(s) documenting that CPRIT's grant review processes were followed by the review panel evaluating the applications in this grant cycle
- A de-identified list of the overall evaluation scores for applications submitted pursuant to the applicable RFA for this grant cycle
A final overall evaluation score and rank order score submitted by the SRPP committees for the grant applications recommended by the PIC in this cycle.

In addition to the CEO Affidavit-Supporting Information that is applicable to all applications submitted pursuant to the applicable RFA and recommended for grant awards this cycle, I have also reviewed the application’s grant pedigree. The grant pedigree for the application listed above has been attached to this affidavit. The application pedigree provides an overview of the conflict of interest process applicable to this application, including any conflicts of interest reported by the review panel or by the PIC. I note that the following PIC members have approved conflict of interest waivers on file for FY2019: Dr. John Hellerstedt, Department of State Health Services Commissioner, applicable to the conflict of interest specified by V.T.C.A., Health & Safety Code § 102.106(c)(3); and Dr. Becky Garcia, Chief Prevention Officer, applicable to the conflict of interest specified by V.T.C.A., Health & Safety Code § 102.106(c)(1). At the time of signing this affidavit, the Oversight Committee has not yet reviewed the application; however, I note that member Will Montgomery also has a conflict of interest waiver on file for FY2019 applicable to the conflict of interest specified by V.T.C.A., Health & Safety Code § 102.106(c)(4).

I personally reviewed the information for the grant application listed above and referenced herein. Based upon my review of the information and to the best of my knowledge, I swear or affirm that the peer review process for the grant application was consistent, in all material aspects, with the process described in the statute and CPRIT’s administrative rules. This statement is true.

Wayne R. Roberts,
CEO, Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas

State of Texas
County of Travis

SWORN to and SUBSCRIBED before me, the undersigned authority, on
the 1st day of November, 2018,
by WAYNE R. ROBERTS.

Melanie Cleveland
Notary Public, State of Texas
# APPLICATION PEDIGREE

**Date and time exported:** 11/01/2018 09:51 AM

**FY:** 2019  
**CYCLE:** 1  
**PROGRAM:** Recruitment  
**MECHANISM:** Recruitment of Established Investigators  
**APPLICATION ID:** RR190009  
**APPLICATION TITLE:** Nomination of Thomas D. Wang, M.D., Ph.D., for a CPRIT Recruitment of Established Investigators  
**APPLICANT NAME:** Thiele, Dwan L.  
**ORGANIZATION:** The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center  
**PANEL NAME:** Recruitment FY19 Cycle 1-2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Compliance Requirement</th>
<th>Information Date</th>
<th>Attestation Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Receipt</td>
<td>RFA Approved by CSO</td>
<td>06/11/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RFA published in Texas.gov eGrants</td>
<td>07/25/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPRIT Application Receipt Cycle opened</td>
<td>06/21/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPRIT Application Receipt Cycle closed</td>
<td>08/20/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.2 Application Receipt Cycle opened</td>
<td>07/23/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.2 Application Receipt Cycle closed</td>
<td>08/20/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date application submitted</td>
<td>08/16/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Method of submission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CARS</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within receipt period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt, Referral, and Assignment</td>
<td>Administrative review notification</td>
<td>08/28/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Donation(s) made to CPRIT / foundation</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assigned to primary reviewers</td>
<td>08/30/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Applicant notified of review panel assignment</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Reviewer 1 COI signed</td>
<td>08/25/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Reviewer 2 COI signed</td>
<td>08/24/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Reviewer 1 critique submitted</td>
<td>09/17/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Reviewer 2 critique submitted</td>
<td>09/11/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COI indicated by non-primary reviewer</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COI recused from participation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discussed at Peer Review Meeting</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peer Review Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post review statements signed</td>
<td>09/24/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Third Party Observer Report</td>
<td>09/14/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Score report delivered to CSO</td>
<td>09/21/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommended for SRC review</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final SRC Recommendation</td>
<td>COI indicated by SRC member</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COI recused from participation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SRC Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Third Party Observer Report</td>
<td>09/14/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommended for SRC review</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRC Chair Notification to PIC and OC</td>
<td>10/16/2018</td>
<td>10/18/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PIC Review</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidate not accepted position prior to SRC date</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>10/31/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COI indicated by PIC member</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>11/01/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COI recused from participation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11/01/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIC Review Meeting</td>
<td>11/01/2018</td>
<td>11/01/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommended for grant award</strong></td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>11/01/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oversight Committee Approval</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notification to Oversight Committee</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COI indicated by Oversight Committee member</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COI recused from participation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration(s) used to CPRIT/foundation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presented to CPRIT Oversight Committee</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Award approved by Oversight Committee</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authority to advance funds requested</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance authority approved by Oversight Committee</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Created Date</th>
<th>Created By</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The identity of the attesting party is retained by CPRIT.
CEO AFFIDAVIT
Application RR190015
Recruitment of Established Investigators
Nomination of Shideng Bao, Ph.D.

THE STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF TRAVIS

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Wayne R. Roberts, who swore or affirmed to tell the truth, and stated as follows:

“My name is Wayne R. Roberts, the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT). I am of sound mind and capable of making this sworn statement. I submit this affidavit pursuant to the legal requirement imposed by V.T.C.A., Health & Safety Code § 102.251(c).

My affidavit addresses the grant review process for the application stated above that is recommended for a CPRIT grant award by the Program Integration Committee (PIC). This application was submitted pursuant to Recruitment of Established Investigators Request for Applications (RFA). CPRIT received six applications for cycles 19.1 through 19.3 in response to this RFA. This application was assigned to the Scientific Review Council for review. A preliminary evaluation process as described by 25 T.A.C. § 703.6(e)(1) was not used for applications in this cycle.

CPRIT staff and CPRIT’s third-party grants management vendor have recorded information and prepared documents during the course of their employment that are related to CPRIT’s grant review process described by Health & Safety Code Chapter 102. I have reviewed the information prepared by CPRIT staff and CPRIT’s third-party grants management vendor in my capacity as CPRIT’s CEO to prepare this affidavit. Some information (“CEO Affidavit-Supporting Information”) is applicable to all applications recommended for awards submitted pursuant to this RFA. The information listed below has been compiled as one packet and is incorporated herein by reference:

- The applicable Request for Applications (RFA) for this grant cycle
- An overview of the conflict of interest process, including any conflict of interest waivers granted
- The third party observer report(s) documenting that CPRIT’s grant review processes were followed by the review panel evaluating the applications in this grant cycle
- A de-identified list of the overall evaluation scores for applications submitted pursuant to the applicable RFA for this grant cycle
• A final overall evaluation score and rank order score submitted by the SRPP committees for the grant applications recommended by the PIC in this cycle.

In addition to the CEO Affidavit-Supporting Information that is applicable to all applications submitted pursuant to the applicable RFA and recommended for grant awards this cycle, I have also reviewed the application’s grant pedigree. The grant pedigree for the application listed above has been attached to this affidavit. The application pedigree provides an overview of the conflict of interest process applicable to this application, including any conflicts of interest reported by the review panel or by the PIC. I note that the following PIC members have approved conflict of interest waivers on file for FY2019: Dr. John Hellerstedt, Department of State Health Services Commissioner, applicable to the conflict of interest specified by V.T.C.A., Health & Safety Code § 102.106(c)(3); and Dr. Becky Garcia, Chief Prevention Officer, applicable to the conflict of interest specified by V.T.C.A., Health & Safety Code § 102.106(c)(1). At the time of signing this affidavit, the Oversight Committee has not yet reviewed the application; however, I note that member Will Montgomery also has a conflict of interest waiver on file for FY2019 applicable to the conflict of interest specified by V.T.C.A., Health & Safety Code § 102.106(c)(4).

I personally reviewed the information for the grant application listed above and referenced herein. Based upon my review of the information and to the best of my knowledge, I swear or affirm that the peer review process for the grant application was consistent, in all material aspects, with the process described in the statute and CPRIT’s administrative rules. This statement is true.”

Wayne R. Roberts,
CEO, Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas

State of Texas
County of Travis

SWORN to and SUBSCRIBED before me, the undersigned authority, on the 1st day of November, 2018,
by WAYNE R. ROBERTS.

Melanie Cleveland
Notary Public, State of Texas
# APPLICATION PEDIGREE

**Date and time exported:** 11/01/2018 09:51 AM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY:</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYCLE:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROGRAM:</td>
<td>Recruitment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECHANISM:</td>
<td>Recruitment of Established Investigators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPLICATION ID:</td>
<td>RR190015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPLICATION TITLE:</td>
<td>Shideng Bao: Recruitment of Established Investigators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPLICANT NAME:</td>
<td>Hromas, Robert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORGANIZATION:</td>
<td>The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANEL NAME:</td>
<td>Recruitment FY'19 Cycle 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Compliance Requirement</th>
<th>Information Date</th>
<th>Attestation Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Receipt</td>
<td>RFA Approved by CSO</td>
<td>06/11/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RFA published in Texas.gov eGrants</td>
<td>07/25/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPRIT Application Receipt Cycle opened</td>
<td>08/21/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPRIT Application Receipt Cycle closed</td>
<td>09/20/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date application submitted</td>
<td>09/20/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Method of submission</td>
<td>CARS</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within receipt period</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt, Referral, and Assignment</td>
<td>Administrative review notification</td>
<td>09/26/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Donation(s) made to CPRIT / foundation</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assigned to primary reviewers</td>
<td>10/01/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Applicant notified of review panel assignment</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Reviewer 1 COI signed</td>
<td>09/25/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Reviewer 2 COI signed</td>
<td>09/27/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Review Meeting</td>
<td>Primary Reviewer 1 critique submitted</td>
<td>10/04/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Reviewer 2 critique submitted</td>
<td>10/05/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COI indicated by non-primary reviewer</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COI recused from participation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discussed at Peer Review Meeting</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peer Review Meeting</td>
<td>10/11/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post review statements signed</td>
<td>10/11/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Third Party Observer Report</td>
<td>10/12/2018</td>
<td>10/18/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Score report delivered to CSO</td>
<td>10/16/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommended for SRC review</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final SRC Recommendation</td>
<td>COI indicated by SRC member</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COI recused from participation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SRC Meeting</td>
<td>10/12/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Third Party Observer Report</td>
<td>10/12/2018</td>
<td>10/18/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommended for grant award</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The identity of the attesting party is retained by CPRIT.
CEO AFFIDAVIT
Application RR190017
Recruitment of First-Time, Tenure-Track Faculty Members
Nomination of Matthew Gubin, Ph.D.

THE STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF TRAVIS

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Wayne R. Roberts, who swore or affirmed to tell the truth, and stated as follows:

“My name is Wayne R. Roberts, the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT). I am of sound mind and capable of making this sworn statement. I submit this affidavit pursuant to the legal requirement imposed by V.T.C.A., Health & Safety Code § 102.251(c).

My affidavit addresses the grant review process for the application stated above that is recommended for a CPRIT grant award by the Program Integration Committee (PIC). This application was submitted pursuant to Recruitment of First-Time, Tenure-Track Faculty Members Request for Applications (RFA). CPRIT received five applications for cycles 19.1 through 19.3 in response to this RFA. This application was assigned to the Scientific Review Council for review. A preliminary evaluation process as described by 25 T.A.C. § 703.6(e)(1) was not used for applications in this cycle.

CPRIT staff and CPRIT’s third-party grants management vendor have recorded information and prepared documents during the course of their employment that are related to CPRIT’s grant review process described by Health & Safety Code Chapter 102. I have reviewed the information prepared by CPRIT staff and CPRIT’s third-party grants management vendor in my capacity as CPRIT’s CEO to prepare this affidavit. Some information (“CEO Affidavit-Supporting Information”) is applicable to all applications recommended for awards submitted pursuant to this RFA. The information listed below has been compiled as one packet and is incorporated herein by reference:

- The applicable Request for Applications (RFA) for this grant cycle
- An overview of the conflict of interest process, including any conflict of interest waivers granted
- The third party observer report(s) documenting that CPRIT’s grant review processes were followed by the review panel evaluating the applications in this grant cycle
- A de-identified list of the overall evaluation scores for applications submitted pursuant to the applicable RFA for this grant cycle
A final overall evaluation score and rank order score submitted by the SRPP committees for the grant applications recommended by the PIC in this cycle.

In addition to the CEO Affidavit-Supporting Information that is applicable to all applications submitted pursuant to the applicable RFA and recommended for grant awards this cycle, I have also reviewed the application’s grant pedigree. The grant pedigree for the application listed above has been attached to this affidavit. The application pedigree provides an overview of the conflict of interest process applicable to this application, including any conflicts of interest reported by the review panel or by the PIC. I note that the following PIC members have approved conflict of interest waivers on file for FY2019: Dr. John Hellerstedt, Department of State Health Services Commissioner, applicable to the conflict of interest specified by V.T.C.A., Health & Safety Code § 102.106(c)(3); and Dr. Becky Garcia, Chief Prevention Officer, applicable to the conflict of interest specified by V.T.C.A., Health & Safety Code § 102.106(c)(1). At the time of signing this affidavit, the Oversight Committee has not yet reviewed the application; however, I note that member Will Montgomery also has a conflict of interest waiver on file for FY2019 applicable to the conflict of interest specified by V.T.C.A., Health & Safety Code § 102.106(c)(4).

I personally reviewed the information for the grant application listed above and referenced herein. Based upon my review of the information and to the best of my knowledge, I swear or affirm that the peer review process for the grant application was consistent, in all material aspects, with the process described in the statute and CPRIT’s administrative rules. This statement is true.”

Wayne R. Roberts,
CEO, Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas

State of Texas
County of Travis

SWORN to and SUBSCRIBED before me, the undersigned authority, on the 1st day of November, 2018, by WAYNE R. ROBERTS.

Melanie Cleveland
Notary Public, State of Texas
# Application Pedigree

**Date and time exported:** 11/01/2018 09:51 AM

**FY:** 2019  
**CYCLE:** 1  
**PROGRAM:** Recruitment  
**MECHANISM:** Recruitment of First-Time, Tenure-Track Faculty Members  
**APPLICATION ID:** RR190017  
**APPLICATION TITLE:** Recruitment of First-Time, Tenure-Track Faculty Members-Matthew Gubin  
**APPLICANT NAME:** Draetta, Giulio  
**ORGANIZATION:** The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center  
**PANEL NAME:** Recruitment FY19 Cycle 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Compliance Requirement</th>
<th>Information Date</th>
<th>Attestation Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Receipt</td>
<td>RFA Approved by CSO</td>
<td>06/11/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RFA published in Texas.gov eGrants</td>
<td>08/06/2018</td>
<td>09/28/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPRIT Application Receipt Cycle opened</td>
<td>08/21/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPRIT Application Receipt Cycle closed</td>
<td>09/20/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date application submitted</td>
<td>09/20/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Method of submission</td>
<td>CARS</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within receipt period</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt, Referral, and Assignment</td>
<td>Administrative review notification</td>
<td>09/26/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Donation(s) made to CPRIT / foundation</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assigned to primary reviewers</td>
<td>10/01/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Applicant notified of review panel assignment</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Reviewer 1 COI signed</td>
<td>09/25/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Reviewer 2 COI signed</td>
<td>09/25/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Review Meeting</td>
<td>Primary Reviewer 1 critique submitted</td>
<td>10/10/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Reviewer 2 critique submitted</td>
<td>10/04/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COI indicated by non-primary reviewer</td>
<td>Margaret Tempero</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COI recused from participation</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discussed at Peer Review Meeting</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peer Review Meeting</td>
<td>10/11/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post review statements signed</td>
<td>09/11/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Third Party Observer Report</td>
<td>10/12/2018</td>
<td>10/18/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Score report delivered to CSO</td>
<td>10/16/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommended for SRC review</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final SRC Recommendation</td>
<td>COI indicated by SRC member</td>
<td>Margaret Tempero</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COI recused from participation</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SRC Meeting</td>
<td>10/11/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Third Party Observer Report</td>
<td>10/12/2018</td>
<td>10/18/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommended for grant award</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>10/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRC Chair Notification to PIC and OC</td>
<td>10/16/2018</td>
<td>10/18/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PIC Review</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidate not accepted asst. prof. tenure track position prior to SRC date</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>10/31/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COI indicated by PIC member</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>11/01/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COI recused from participation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11/01/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIC Review Meeting</td>
<td>11/01/2018</td>
<td>11/01/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended for grant award</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>11/01/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oversight Committee Approval</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEO Notification to Oversight Committee</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COI indicated by Oversight Committee member</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COI recused from participation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donation(s) made to CPRIT / foundation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presented to CPRIT Oversight Committee</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Award approved by Oversight Committee</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authority to advance funds requested</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance authority approved by Oversight Committee</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**

- **Comment**
- **Created Date**
- **Created By**

**No Comment**

The identity of the attesting party is retained by CPRIT.